UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO PARANÁ



CURITIBA

TAMIRES MAIARA ERCOLE

VARIABILIDADE DE ATRIBUTOS DO SOLO E NUTRICIONAIS DE ÁREAS DE PRODUÇÃO DE *Pinus taeda* L. COM SINTOMAS DE CLOROSE

Dissertação apresentada ao curso de Pós-Graduação em Ciência do Solo, Setor de Ciências Agrárias, Universidade Federal do Paraná, como requisito parcial à obtenção do título de Mestre em Ciência do Solo.

Orientador: Prof. Dr. Antônio Carlos Vargas Motta

Coorientadores: Prof. Dr. Jairo Calderari de Oliveira Junior e Dr. João Bosco Vasconcellos Gomes

CURITIBA

DADOS INTERNACIONAIS DE CATALOGAÇÃO NA PUBLICAÇÃO (CIP) UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO PARANÁ SISTEMA DE BIBLIOTECAS – BIBLIOTECA DE CIÊNCIAS AGRÁRIAS

Ercole, Tamires Maiara

Variabilidade de atributos do solo e nutricionais de áreas de produção de *Pinus taeda* L. com sintomas de clorose / Tamires Maiara Ercole. – Curitiba, 2023. 1 recurso online: PDF.

Dissertação (Mestrado) – Universidade Federal do Paraná, Setor de Ciências Agrárias, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciência do Solo.

Orientador: Prof. Dr. Antônio Carlos Vargas Motta Coorientador: Prof. Dr. Jairo Calderari de Oliveira Junior Coorientador: Dr. João Bosco Vasconcellos Gomes

1. *Pinus taeda*. 2. Madeira - Densidade. 3. Solos. 4. Fitopatologia. I. Motta, Antônio Carlos Vargas. II. Oliveira Junior, Jairo Calderari de. III. Gomes, João Bosco Vasconcellos. IV. Universidade Federal do Paraná. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciência do Solo. V. Título.



MINISTÉRIO DA EDUCAÇÃO SETOR DE CIÊNCIAS AGRÁRIAS UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO PARANÁ PRÓ-REITORIA DE PESQUISA E PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO CIÊNCIA DO SOLO -40001016014P4

TERMO DE APROVAÇÃO

Os membros da Banca Examinadora designada pelo Colegiado do Programa de Pós-Graduação CIÊNCIA DO SOLO da Universidade Federal do Paraná foram convocados para realizar a arguição da dissertação de Mestrado de TAMIRES MAIARA ERCOLE inlitulada: VARIABILIDADE DE ATRIBUTOS DO SOLO E NUTRICIONAIS DE ÁREAS DE PRODUÇÃO DE Pinus taeda L. COM SINTOMAS DE CLOROSE, sob orientação do Prof. Dr. ANTONIO CARLOS VARGAS MOTTA, que após terem inquirido a aluna e realizada a avaliação do trabalho, são de parecer pela sua APROVAÇÃO no rito de defesa.

A outorga do título de mestra está sujeita à homologação pelo colegiado, ao atendimento de todas as indicações e correções solicitadas pela banca e ao pleno atendimento das demandas regimentais do Programa de Pós-Graduação.

CURITIBA, 23 de Janeiro de 2023.

Assinatura Eletrônica 23/01/2023 17:26:40.0 ANTONIO CARLOS VARGAS MOTTA Presidente da Banca Examinadora

Assinatura Eletrônica 23/01/2023 15:50:23.0 SERGIO HENRIQUE GODINHO SILVA Avaliador Externo (UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE LAVRAS) Assinatura Eletrônica 23/01/2023 19:53:05.0 CAROLINA SMANHOTTO SCHUCHOVSKI AUGUSTO Avaliador Externo (DEPARTAMENTO DE SOLOS E ENGENHARIA AGRíCOLA DA UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO PARANá)

Assinatura Eletrônica 23/01/2023 14:30:26.0 LETÍCIA DE PIERRI Avaliador Externo (PONTIFÍCIA UNIVERSIDADE CATÓLICA DO PARANÁ)

Rua dos Funcionários, 1540 - CURITIBA - Paraná - Brasil CEP 80035-050 - Tel: (41) 3350-5648 - E-mail: pgcisolo@ufpr.br

Documento assinado eletronicamente de acordo com o disposto na legislação federal Decreto 8539 de 08 de outubro de 2015. Gerado e autenticado pelo SIGA-UFPR, com a seguinte identificação única: 250166

Para autenticar este documento/assinatura, acese https://www.prppg.ufpr.br/siga/visitante/autenticacaoassinaturas.jsp e insira o codigo 250166

I dedicate this work to my parents and my sister, for their support.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am grateful to God, for blessing and protecting me throughout this journey, for his teachings and challenges.

I thank my family, my father - Altivir Antonio Ercole, my mother - Neide Luiza Benato Ercole and my little sister - Tairine Graziella Ercole, for all the support, understanding and love.

To my advisor, professor Antônio Carlos Vargas Motta, for his trust, dedication and professional and life teachings.

To my co-advisors, Dr. João Bosco Vasconcellos Gomes - who supported me, listened, advised and helped me during this journey, my immense gratitude, and to professor Jairo Calderari de Oliveira Junior - for the advice and teachings.

To my friend Camila de Oliveira, for so many years of friendship, for always being by my side, listening to me, supporting and watching over me. To the friends I made during my master's degree, Carla G. Albuquerque – thank you for the long conversations and advice, Valdécio S. Rodrigues and Nicolas S. Trentin – for all the help. I also thank Ana P. M. Mikoski, Fabiana Gavelaki, Ana E. L. Brumat and the undergraduate students (children) and so many other graduate colleagues.

The Federal University of Paraná, the Department of Soils and Agricultural Engineering and especially the graduate program in Soil Science and all the dear professors I had the opportunity to meet and learn from them, also Mrs. Denise and Mrs. Marla.

To professors Sérgio Henrique Godinho Silva and Nilton Curi for their help, advice and for providing the execution of analyses at the Federal University of Lavras and professor Mario Tommasiello Filho and Dr. Gabriel Assis-Pereira for the opportunity to perform analyses at the Superior School of Agriculture "Luiz de Queiroz".

The company Remasa, for the provision of the study area and the assistance in the field and for all the help of Dr. Amanda Pacheco Cardoso Moura.

This work was carried out with the support of the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development - CNPq.

My thanks to all the people who helped in this work in some way.

"Um guerreiro da luz compartilha com os outros o que sabe do caminho. Quem ajuda, sempre é ajudado, e precisa ensinar o que aprendeu. Por isso, ele senta-se ao redor da fogueira e conta como foi o seu dia de luta. Um amigo sussurra: "Por que falar tão abertamente de sua estratégia? Não vê que, agindo assim, corre o risco de ter que dividir suas conquistas com os outros?". O guerreiro apenas sorri, e não responde. Sabe que, se chegar ao final da jornada num paraíso vazio, sua luta não terá valido a pena."

Paulo Coelho

RESUMO

A heterogeneidade de crescimento e o aparecimento de clorose nas acículas de Pinus taeda em talhões comerciais de baixo crescimento tem se intensificado nos últimos anos. Logo, objetivou-se verificar se o Índice Resistente à Atmosfera na Região Visível (VARI) é capaz de representar a heterogeneidade de desenvolvimento do Pinus taeda, e se essa está associada a características pedológicas e químicas do solo, serapilheira e nutrição das árvores com clorose. O estudo foi conduzido em uma área de 18.42 hectares de reflorestamento de Pinus taeda (14 anos), em segundo desbaste, com solo formado sobre rocha eruptiva ácida (riodacito e riolito), sem utilização de corretivos e fertilizantes. O talhão foi escolhido por evidenciar elevada variação no crescimento das plantas e árvores com clorose. Utilizando imagens aéreas obtidas com drone foi realizada a confecção de um mapa para separar a área, guanto ao índice VARI, em guatro classes: muito baixo, baixo, médio e alto. Foram realizadas coletas de solo em três profundidade para análise química, serapilheira (< 2 mm >) e tecidos vegetais (acículas – terço superior da copa coletando primeiro e segundo lançamento e terço inferior da copa - coletando segundo lançamento, casca e lenho – ambos a seis alturas do tronco e raízes finas encontradas na serapilheira). A serapilheira e os tecidos vegetais coletados foram analisados quimicamente por digestão a seco (em mufla) e determinados por espectroscopia de emissão óptica de plasma indutivamente acoplado (ICP-OES). As árvores foram avaliadas quanto ao diâmetro a altura do peito (DAP), sendo uma selecionada para ser abatida, da qual foi medida a altura (comercial e total), volume e biomassa. Foi coletado um disco na altura do DAP e esse foi analisado por densitometria de raios-X, obtendo-se a largura dos anéis de crescimento e densidade da madeira. Também determinou-se a declividade das parcelas e a classificação dos solos. Não houve diferenças na classificação do solo e na fertilidade entre as classes, todas apresentaram solos de muito baixa fertilidade, extremamente ácidos. A classe alta quando comparada com as classes de menor VARI (baixa e muito baixa) apresentou volume de madeira (sem casca) superior em 0,20 m³ árvore⁻¹. As classes de maior VARI apresentaram maiores concentrações de cálcio (Ca) e magnésio (Mg) nas acículas, cascas e raízes finas, principalmente para Mg. A classe alta e média também apresentaram maiores concentrações de Ca e Mg na serapilheira (> 2 mm), porém tiveram menor acúmulo dessa. O VARI se mostrou eficiente para representar a heterogeneidade de crescimento. A classe muito baixa apresentou clorose nas pontas das acículas e perda da copa das árvores, sintomas condizentes com os indicados para deficiência de Mg na literatura, entretanto, sugere-se um ajuste no limite crítico de Mg nas acículas para 0,30 g kg⁻¹. As acículas, raízes finas, casca e serapilheira (> 2 mm) mostraram-se como bons indicadores do estado nutricional da planta. A deficiência de Mg²⁺ é a causa mais provável do menor desenvolvimento das plantas, estando diretamente associada a baixa fertilidade natural do solo e o esgotamento desse pela não reposição de nutrientes.

Palavras-chave: Densidade da madeira. Desbalanço nutricional. VARI. Exaustão do solo. Raízes finas.

ABSTRACT

The heterogeneity of growth and the appearance of needle chlorosis in *Pinus* taeda needles in low growth commercial areas has intensified in recent years. Therefore, the goals of this study were to verify if Visible atmospherically Resistant Index (VARI) can represent the heterogeneity of Pinus taeda development and whether this is associated with pedological and chemical characteristics of the soil, litter, and tree nutrition with chlorosis symptoms. The study was conducted in an area of 18.42 hectares of Pinus taeda reforestation (14 years-old), in second thinning, with soil formed on acid eruptive rock (rhyodacite and rhyolite), without the use of correctives and fertilizers. The area was chosen because it shows high variation in the growth of plants and trees with chlorosis. Using aerial images obtained with a drone a map was created to separate the area, regarding the VARI index, into four classes: Very Low, Low, Medium, and High. Soil samples was collected at three depths for chemical analysis, litter (< 2 mm >) and plant tissues (needles – upper third of canopy collecting first and second flush and lower third of canopy - collecting second flush, bark and wood – both at six trunk heights, and fine roots found in the litter) were also collected. The litter and plant tissues were chemically analyzed by dry digestion (in muffle) and determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES). The trees were evaluated for diameter at breast height (DBH), one being selected to be felled, which was measured for height (commercial and total), volume and biomass. A disk was collected at the height of the DBH, and this was analyzed by X-ray densitometry, obtaining the width of the growth rings and wood density. The slope of the plots and the classification of the soils were also determined. There were no differences in soil classification and fertility between classes, all had very low fertility, extremely acidic soils. The High class, when compared to the lower VARI classes (Low and Very Low) presented a wood volume (without bark) superior by 0.20 m³ tree⁻¹. The classes with the highest VARI showed higher concentrations of calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) in the needles, bark, and fine roots, mainly for Mg. The High and Medium classes also presented higher concentrations of Ca and Mg in the litter (> 2 mm) but had a smaller accumulation of this. The VARI proved to be efficient to represent growth heterogeneity. The Very Low class showed chlorosis at the tips of the needles and loss of the tree canopy, symptoms consistent with indicated for Mg deficiency in the literature, however, an adjustment in the critical limit of Mg in the needles to 0.30 g kg⁻¹ is suggested. The needles, fine roots, bark, and litter (> 2 mm) were good indicators of the nutritional status of the plant. Mg²⁺ deficiency is the most likely cause of reduced plant development, being directly associated with low natural soil fertility and soil depletion due to lack of nutrient replacement.

Keywords: Wood density. Nutritional imbalance. VARI. Soil exhaustion. Fine roots.

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF CHARTS

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1 – CONCENTRATIONS OF NUTRIENTS AND AI IN THE NEEDLES OF THE LOWER (SECOND FLUSH) AND UPPER THIRD (FIRST AND SECOND FLUSH) OF THE CANOPY OF A 14 YEAR-OLD *Pinus taeda* CULTIVATION IN DIFFERENT VARI CLASSES (VERY LOW, LOW, MEDIUM, AND HIGH) EVALUATED IN BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

| spp. | Species |
|----------|--|
| L. | Lineu |
| VARI | Visible Atmospherically Resistant Index |
| Cfb | Temperate climate |
| UAV | Unmanned aerial vehicle |
| GPS | Global Positioning System |
| СВН | Circumference at breast height – 1.3 m |
| DBH | Diameter at breast height |
| ICP-OES | Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy |
| рН | Hydrogen potential |
| SMP | Shoemaker, Mac Lean and Pratt |
| SB | Sum of bases |
| CEC eff. | Effective cation exchange capacity |
| CEC pH 7 | Potential cation exchange capacity |
| m% | Aluminum saturation |
| V% | Base saturation |
| LR | Liming recommendation |
| Р. | Pinus |
| NDVI | Normalized Difference Vegetation Index |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| Mn | Manganese |
|----------------|-------------------|
| Са | Calcium |
| Mg | Magnesium |
| Ν | Nitrogen |
| % | Percentage |
| К | Potassium |
| Р | Phosphororus |
| AI | Aluminum |
| °C | Celsius degree |
| mm | Millimeter |
| S | South |
| W | West |
| m | Meter |
| ha | Hectares |
| C1 | Very Low class |
| C2 | Low class |
| C3 | Medium class |
| C4 | High class |
| M ² | Square meter |
| cm | Centimeter |
| ТМ | Trademark |
| g | gram |
| HCI | Hydrochloric acid |

| L | Liter |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| mL | Milliliters |
| As | Arsenic |
| В | Boron |
| Ва | Barium |
| Cd | Cadmium |
| Со | Cobalt |
| Cr | Chrome |
| Cu | Copper |
| Fe | Iron |
| Мо | Molybdenum |
| Ni | Nickel |
| Pb | Lead |
| Sb | Antimony |
| Se | Selenium |
| V | Vanadium |
| Zn | Zinc |
| > | Greater than |
| < | Less than |
| CaCl ₂ | Calcium chloride |
| н | Hydrogen |
| Al ³⁺ | Aluminum ion |
| KCI | Potassium chloride |
| Na | Sodium |

| NaOH | Sodium hydroxide |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| K+ | Potassium ion |
| Na⁺ | Sodium ion |
| Mg ha ⁻¹ | Megagram per hectare |
| ≤ | Less or equal than |
| M ³ | Cubic meter |
| kg | Kilogram |
| g cm ⁻³ | grams per cubic centimeter |
| mg kg⁻¹ | Milligrams per kilogram |
| g kg ⁻¹ | Grams per kilogram |
| kg ha⁻¹ | Kilogram per hectare |
| mg dm ⁻³ | milligram per cubic decimeter |
| cmol _c dm ⁻³ | Charge centimol per cubic decimeter |
| Ca ²⁺ | Calcium ion |
| Mg ²⁺ | Magnesium ion |

SUMMARY

| 1 INTRODUCTION | 16 |
|---|----|
| 2 MATERIAL AND METHODS | 19 |
| 2.1 STUDY AREA | 19 |
| 2.2 DELINEATING STUDY AREA INTO VARI CLASSES | 19 |
| 2.3 GROWTH DATA | 21 |
| 2.4 TRUNK CROSS SECTION | 22 |
| 2.5 NEEDLES | 23 |
| 2.6 LITTER AND FINE ROOTS | 23 |
| 2.7 SOIL CHEMISTRY | 24 |
| 2.8 SOIL PEDOLOGY AND GRANULOMETRY | 24 |
| 2.9 STATISTICAL ANALYSES | 25 |
| 3 RESULTS | 26 |
| 3.1 VARI CLASSES AND TREE GROWTH | 26 |
| 3.2 WOOD DENSITY AND GROWTH RING WIDTH | 27 |
| 3.3 SYMPTOMS AND ELEMENTS IN EVALUATED COMPONENTS | 28 |
| 3.4 TREE TISSUE - NEEDLE, WOOD, BARK AND FINE ROOTS IN LITTER | 31 |
| 3.5 LITTER | 36 |
| 3.6 SOIL CHEMISTRY | 37 |
| 3.7 SOIL PEDOLOGY AND GRANULOMETRY | 38 |
| 4 DISCUSSION | 40 |
| 4.1 SOIL DEPLETION BY SUCCESSIVE FOREST CROPS | 40 |
| 4.2 GROWTH HETEROGENEITY VISUALIZATION BY VARI | 40 |
| 4.3 DENSITY AND GROWTH RING WIDTH | 41 |

| 4.4 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CHLOROTIC SYI | MPTOMS AND ELEMENTS IN |
|--|------------------------|
| TREE TISSUES AND LITTER | |
| 4.5 INFLUENCE OF LANDSCAPE AND SOII HETEROGENEITY | L PEDOLOGY ON FOREST |
| 5 CONCLUSION | 48 |
| 6 SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH | |
| 7 REFERENCES | |
| APPENDIX 1 – SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL | |

1 INTRODUCTION

World wood demand is expected to increase significantly in coming decades due to expanding populations and economies (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation [FAO], 2020). In relation to world production, Brazil occupies a prominent position in exportation of pulpwood, wood panels, and sawtimber (FAO, 2018; FAO, 2021). Thus, commercial forest operations play important roles in economic and social sectors, while contributing to ecosystem services such as carbon sequestration (Brazilian Tree Industry [IBÁ], 2021). *Pinus* spp. are the second most planted forest species in Brazil, especially in the southern region, where *Pinus taeda* L. is the main cultivated species due to regional climatic conditions that favor development (IBÁ, 2021). In addition, the relatively short harvest cycle (~15 to 20 yrs) of this species favors the supply of wood for pulp and paper industries (Dobner Jr. et al., 2018). Harvest cycles tend to be longer (close to 30 yrs) for the production of wood products (furniture and panels), with two to four thinning operations typically occurring during this period (Sampietro et al., 2022).

Due to the plasticity of *P. taeda* and the favorable subtropical climate of southern Brazil, most stands are commonly located on lands with low to medium agricultural potential, which improves the cost/opportunity ratio (Motta et al., 2014; Abrão et al., 2015; Batista et al., 2015; Sass et al., 2020) since these marginal and degraded areas have lower monetary value and do not suffer competition with primary agricultural commodities (Lu et al., 2009; Smethurst, 2010; Rodrigues-Corrêa et al., 2012; Consalter et al., 2021a). Lands with low agriculture potential commonly exhibit high heterogeneity of characteristics and productivity along short distances with variations according to relief, soil depth, stoniness, rockiness, nutrient supply, and water availability (Morales et al., 2010; Gomes et al., 2016; Horst et al., 2018; Horst-Heinen et al., 2021).

After several cultivations of *Pinus* spp., areas often exhibit growth heterogeneity and symptoms of needle chlorosis (or yellowing). In *Pinus caribeae* plantations cultivated on very low fertility soils (effective CEC between 1.01 and 1.41 cmol_c dm⁻³) in the Cerrado region of Minas Gerais, Chaves and Corrêa (2003; 2005) observed that symptoms of needle chlorosis were associated with manganese (Mn), calcium (Ca), and magnesium (Mg) deficiencies in soil and plant tissue. While leaf tissue is typically used to diagnosis nutritional problems, bark (Wit et al., 2010; Pereira

et al., 2022), woody tissue (Rodriguez & Tomazello-Filho, 2019), and roots (Adams et al., 1987) have also been used. In addition to nutrition, abiotic factors such as luminosity may also influence appearance of deficiency symptoms in leaf tissue, particularly for Mg (Cakmak & Marschner, 1992; Ende & Evers, 1997; Cakmak & Yazici, 2010). Therefore, canopy position and associated climatic conditions must also be considered.

In addition to low natural fertility, nutritional deficiency may be related to soil exhaustion since these production areas often do not replace exported nutrients (Federer et al., 1989; Richter et al., 1994; Ferreira et al., 2001; Moro et al., 2014; Batista et al., 2015; Gatiboni et al., 2017; 2020; Consalter et al., 2021b; Topanotti et al., 2021). In some regions, acid rain occurrence also results in decreased bases, which can intensify the occurrence of nutritional deficiencies (Meiwes, 1995; Hüttl & Schaaf, 1997; Huber et al., 2006). However, atmospheric contributions and slow release of nutrients can influence nutrient exhaustion in different ways. Over a 120 year period forests did not display losses in nitrogen (N), but losses of 2 to 10% for potassium (K), Mg and phosphorus (P), and 20 to 60% for Ca (Federer et al. 1989). A study by Horst et al. (2018) related heterogeneity in *P. taeda* plantations to soil depth, relief, and landscape location. Several studies have shown that cultivations on shallow soils limits root development, which consequently constrains adequate tree growth (Morales et al., 2010; Gomes et al., 2016; Horst-Heinen et al., 2021). Morales et al. (2010) also observed that compaction of surface soil layers can affect tree development. In restricted environments where root penetration is limited, large quantities of thinner roots are commonly found in litter (Zucon et al., 2020; Adam et al., 2021). On sites with natural poor fertility, Pinus spp. plantations commonly have greater litter accumulation of poor quality, which limits biological activity, decomposition, and nutrient supply (Cherobim et al., 2010).

Accurately identifying factors associated with chlorotic needle symptoms in *Pinus* spp. plantations is necessary to formulate production strategies. Factor identification is essential for production to remain competitive, by increasing productivity and soil quality in production areas (Horst-Heinen et al., 2021). The Visible Atmospherically Resistant Index (VARI) method can assist in evaluating these factors. VARI can provide information on plant nutrition since it is based on the variability of plant vigor and stress, and measures the amount of green reflected by plants (Gitelson

et al., 2002). Studies conducted by Dell et al. (2019) and Larrinaga and Brotons (2019) show the potential of VARI use in forested areas.

Thus, the aims of our study were to verify if the VARI technique was able to confirm heterogeneity observed in a commercial *P. taeda* plantation, and if heterogeneity was associated with pedological and chemical characteristics of soil, litter, and nutrition of *Pinus taeda* trees exhibiting chlorotic symptoms. Our hypothesis is that low growth zones observed by aerial imaging are on shallow soils with low fertility and reflect insufficient volume of exploited soil required to maintain adequate nutritional status. This fact can be visualized by the low concentration of essential elements and accumulation of toxic elements [e.g., aluminum (AI)] in plant tissues (needles, wood, bark, and fine roots) and greater accumulation of litter.

2 MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 STUDY AREA

The study was conducted in a commercial *P. taeda* production area of Fazenda Lageado Grande I, (Remasa Company) located in the municipality of Bituruna in southern Brazil. The regional climate is Cfb - temperate, without dry season and with mild summer, with an annual average temperature of 17 °C and rainfall of ~1550 mm (Alvares et al., 2013). Native site vegetation was subtropical Mixed Ombrophylous forest with abundance of Araucaria tree (*Araucaria angustifolia*). The selected area was located at the central coordinates 26°17'15" S and 51°32'30" W and consisted of 18.42 hectares exhibiting heterogeneity with many sites presenting low growth rates and trees with chlorosis. This area was in a second rotation of *P. taeda* cultivation, and at the time of sample collection trees were 14 years old and had already undergone two thinning operations. Tree spacing was 2.5 x 2.5 m (1600 plants ha⁻¹). The production system adopted for this site did not utilize fertilizers or soil correctives.

2.2 DELINEATING STUDY AREA INTO VARI CLASSES

Area selection was based on the presence of pine trees exhibiting a color gradient among needles ranging from a healthy color (no chlorosis) to symptomatic coloring (yellowing – chlorosis). For area delimitation, aerial images were obtained by an unmanned aerial vehicle drone (UAV; Phantom 4 Pro V2.0 – DJI). The camera had a wide-angle lens [field of vision (FOV) of 84° 8.8 mm/24 mm (35 mm equivalent format)] optimized at f/2.8 - f/11, integrated RGB and 1" CMOS sensors (20M effective pixels), and a mechanical shutter (DJI, 2023). Aerial images were continuously acquired every 5 cm. The original orthomosaic created was resampled to form 2 x 2 m pixels in order to partially break the exposed ground effect between lines. Afterwards, combined RGB images were processed through a program where the spatial distribution of the VARI index was smoothed. ArcGIS® (ESRI) sorted images into 4 classes using the Raster Calculator (Spacial Analyst) based on the standard VARI index algorithm (VARI = (Green – Red)/(Green + Red – Blue)) (Gitelson et al., 2002; ArcGis Pro, 2021a) and calculated Jenks natural breaks (ArcGis Pro, 2021b). Thus,

generated the VARI map (Fig. 1) classified chlorotic plants entirely within the lowest VARI class; which was confirmed by aerial images and field observations.

FIGURE 1 – VISIBLE ATMOSPHERICALLY RESISTAT INDEX (VARI) MAP SHOWING FOUR CLASSES OF REFLECTANCE INTENSITY (VERY LOW, LOW, MEDIUM, AND HIGH) AS INDICATORS OF GROWTH CONDITIONS IN A 14 YEAR-OLD *Pinus taeda* CULTIVATION (I.E., HIGHER VARI = BETTER TREE GROWTH) IN THE "LAGEADO GRANDE I" STUDY AREA IN BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL.



SOURCE: The author (2023). LEGEND: the map shows land polygon delimitations and respective evaluation points (being indicated as class (C) – repetition (R))

Therefore, as shown in Figure 2, the workflow consisted of a visit to the field, then obtaining aerial images by drone, making an VARI map, confirming the VARI map in the field and collecting data. Thus, as observed in the field, the lowest VARI value represented trees with yellow (chlorotic) needles, and the highest value was the healthiest trees with green needles.

FIGURE 2 – WORKFLOW SHOWING THE STEPS FOR CONDUCTION OF THE WORK ABOUT THE EVALUETION OF FOUR VARI CLASSES (VERY LOW, LOW, MEDIUM, AND HIGH) IN A 14 YEAR-OLD *Pinus taeda* CULTIVATION IN THE "LAGEADO GRANDE I" STUDY AREA IN BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL.



Values of VARI obtained for the classes were 0.17, 0.23, 0.28 and 0.33, which were respectively called: C1 – Very low (red); C2 - Low (orange); C3 - Medium (yellow); and C4 - High (green) (Fig. 1). In polygons of each class (minimum size of 0.1 hectare), four plots were selected (each being ~160 m²) where spacing between trees did not follow an exact pattern due to two previous thinnings. This constituted four replications of each class (for a total of 16 plots evaluated); all plots were tagged via Global

2.3 GROWTH DATA

Positioning System (GPS) equipment (Fig. 1).

Circumference at breast height (CBH) at 1.3 m (data not shown) was performed on 10 trees in each plot for a total of 40 trees per class (Figure S1a). Obtained values were used to calculate diameter at breast height (DBH = CBH/ π) (Soares et al., 2011). Within each plot, the tree with a DBH closest to the average of the plot (10 trees) was harvested. To confirm if the harvested tree was representative of the plot, correlations between DBH of the harvested tree and the mean site DBH were very strong (r = 0.97) (Figure S2). Each harvested tree was evaluated for total height and commercial height, where the latter considered length until the trunk diameter was 8 cm (Figure S1b). Trunk diameter was also measured at one meter spacings (using a brace) along the commercial height. Utilizing this information, sectional areas were calculated and commercial wood volume (with and without bark) was determined based on an adaptation of the Smalian formula (Soares et al. 2011).

2.4 TRUNK CROSS SECTION

After collecting height and diameter data of the harvested tree, the tree trunk was cut to obtain six cross sections (Figure S1c). Cross sections were obtained at the base of the tree, at breast height (1.3 m, corresponding to DBH), and at four different percentage values of commercial height (25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%). All cross sections were air dried and polished.

In the wood anatomy laboratory (located at the Superior School of Agriculture "Luiz de Queiroz" – University of São Paulo), analysis of density and width of cross sections growth rings at DBH were obtained. Following the methodology of Rodriguez (2018), a diametrical strip (1 cm wide) was demarcated with the medulla as the central reference (0.5 cm for each side) prior to cutting the strip. Cut strips were glued to a wooden support; after drying, strips mounted on supports were again cut crosswise (2 mm thickness) with a parallel double circular saw. Subsequently, 2 mm transverse samples of the cross sections were packed into a climatic chamber with 12% humidity (20 °C, 60% relative humidity, 24 hours) and scanned using X-ray densitometry equipment (Faxitron® LX-60 Cabinet X-ray System). X-ray images were processed by the WinDENDRO[™] - Tree Rings and Wood Density program, and each sample was analyzed in the pith to bark direction to obtain the annual growth rate (growth ring width) and wood density. Furthermore, density and volume data were used to calculate wood biomass (with and without bark) as follows: wood biomass (kg) = wood volume (m³) x wood density (kg m⁻³) (Soares et al., 2011).

All collected the cross sections had their wood and bark chemically analyzed. The bark of each cross sections was completely ground in a Wiley mill before analysis. The wood of each cross sections was cut to obtain the last six growth rings, and this was passed through a cutting saw (resulting sawdust was used for analysis). Both materials were analyzed by dry digestion following the methodology of Martins and Reissmann (2007). The digestion process of each sample consisted of the following initial steps: weighing 1 g of material in a porcelain crucible; incineration in a muffle furnace (500 °C) for 3 hrs; cooling inside the open muffle furnace; addition of 3 drops of HCl (hydrochloric acid) 3 mol L⁻¹; and a new incineration period of 3 hrs in the muffle furnace. After cooling, 10 mL of HCl 3 mol L⁻¹ per crucible was added and crucibles were arranged on a heating plate set at a temperature of ~80 °C for 20 min. Cooled samples were filtered into a 100 mL balloon using deionized water and blue band filter paper. Levels of AI, arsenic (As), boron (B), barium (Ba), Ca, cadmium (Cd), cobalt (Co), chrome (Cr), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), K, Mg, Mn, molybdenum (Mo), nickel (Ni), P, lead (Pb), antimony (Sb), selenium (Se), vanadium (V), and zinc (Zn) were quantified in the obtained extract by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES).

2.5 NEEDLES

From each harvested tree, needles were collected from four branches in the lower and upper third of the canopy; those from the upper third were separated into first and second needle flushes (Rabel, 2019) (Figure S1d). Sampled needles were washed in deionized water and dried in a forced circulation oven at 65 °C until constant mass was obtained (~72 hours). Mass of 100 needles from each sampled section (i.e., lower third, upper third – first and second flush) was determined with a precision balance. As previously described for wood and bark, needles were then analyzed by dry digestion following the methodology of Martins and Reissmann (2007). Levels of AI, As, B, Ba, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Mo, Ni, P, Pb, Sb, Se, V, and Zn in extracts were quantified by ICP-OES.

2.6 LITTER AND FINE ROOTS

Litter was collected at three random points around harvested trees using a square template (0.2 x 0.2 m) and saw knife (Figure S1e). In the laboratory, litter was washed to remove adhered soil particles and dried in a forced circulation oven at 65 °C until constant mass was obtained. Mass of litter was determined with a precision balance. Pine fine roots were separated from the litter (Figure S1f) and shredded prior to chemical analysis (Martins & Reissmann, 2007). Litter was separated into two

fractions [> 2 mm (freshly fallen needles - undecomposed material) and < 2 mm (fragmented and fermented material)] and both fractions were ground using an electric grinder. Fine roots and the two litter fractions were processed by dry digestion. Levels of Al, As, B, Ba, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Mo, Ni, P, Pb, Sb, Se, V, and Zn in extracts were determined by ICP-OES. Litter mass per hectare was then used to calculate nutrient content per hectare.

2.7 SOIL CHEMISTRY

Soil was collected at six points per plot with dutch auger at three depths (0-0.20, 0.20–0.40, and 0.40–0.60 m) (Figure S1g) and composited by depth. Prior to chemical analyses, samples were dried in an oven at 65 °C for 72 hours and manually ground to pass a 2 mm sieve. Soil chemical analyses consisted of evaluating pH in CaCl₂ (0.01 mol L⁻¹) – ratio 1:2.5, pH SMP - potential acidity (H + Al), organic carbon, exchangeable aluminum (Al³⁺) extracted by KCl 1 mol L⁻¹, and P, K, Na, Ca, Mg, As, B, Ba, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, V, and Zn extracted by Mehlich-1. Al³⁺ was determined by titration with NaOH 0.2 mol L⁻¹, P by spectrophotometer, K⁺ and Na⁺ by flame photometry, and extracts of remaining elements were analyzed by ICP-OES (Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation [Embrapa], 1997; 2017; Marques & Motta, 2003). From obtained results, the following parameters were calculated: sum of bases (SB = Ca + Mg + K + Na⁺), effective cation exchange capacity (CEC eff. = SB + AI), potential cation exchange capacity ((CEC pH 7.0 = SB + (H + AI)), aluminum saturation (m% = AI/CEC eff. x 100), base saturation (V% = SB/CEC pH 7.0 x 100) (Embrapa, 1997; 2017; Marques & Motta, 2003), and lime recommendation (LR) by the Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ elevation method (LR (Mg ha⁻¹) = 2 - (Ca) $(\text{cmol}_{c} \text{ dm}^{-3}) + \text{Mg} (\text{cmol}_{c} \text{ dm}^{-3}))$ (Sousa & Lobato, 2004).

2.8 SOIL PEDOLOGY AND GRANULOMETRY

Observation of study plots in regards to relief and landscape (Figure S1h) indicated no significant variation in soil classes. Thus, a morphological description of the soil profile was performed to a depth of 1 m in the center of each evaluated plot (Figure S1i). In addition, samples were collected from each pedogenetic horizon for chemical and physical analyses. Chemical analysis consisted of the same sequence

of analysis previously described for soil sample obtained at depths of 0–0.20, 0.20– 0.40 and 0.40–0.60 m. Physical analysis (granulometry) was performed by the hydrometer method (Gee & Bauder, 1986). Using these field and laboratory data soil classification was performed according to the Brazilian Soil Classification System (SiBCS) (Embrapa, 2018) and the World Reference Base (WRB) (FAO, 2015). Slope of each plot was determined using a clinometer and plot position within the landscape was also evaluated.

2.9 STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Collected data were analyzed by the Kruskal-Wallis test. Data were then submitted to the Nemenyi means test ($p \le 0.01$, $p \le 0.05$, and 0.05) using the R program (v. 4.2.1).

3 RESULTS

3.1 VARI CLASSES AND TREE GROWTH

Use of the VARI method verified heterogeneity in commercial *P. taeda* plantings since differences in tree growth for most of the variables evaluated were efficiently identified by VARI maps and confirmed in the field (Figure 2). The greatest differences in tree growth usually observed between the High (0.33) and Very Low (0.17) VARI classes since the Low (0.23) and Medium (0.28) classes were often similar to each other or with the extreme classes (Very Low and High) (Figure 3).

FIGURE 3 – THE ASSOCIATION OF VARI CLASSES (VERY LOW, LOW, MEDIUM, AND HIGH) WITH AVERAGE DIAMETER AT BREAST HEIGHT (DBH - 1.30 m) FOR PLOTS (10 TREES PLOT⁻¹) AND FELLED TREES (a), TOTAL AND COMMERCIAL HEIGHT (b), WOOD VOLUME PER TREE (WITH AND WITHOUT BARK) (c), AND TRUNK BIOMASS PER TREE AT 14 YEARS (WITH AND WITHOUT BARK) (d) IN A COMMERCIAL CULTIVATION OF *Pinus taeda* EVALUATED IN BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL



SOURCE: The author (2023).

LEGEND: Colored bars represent means and vertical lines represent error bars (SD). The Y axes represent the respective measurement units of each variable. Each bar color represents a VARI class with the lowest VARI class on the left and the highest VARI class on the right. Means followed by different letters within each evaluated parameter between VARI classes differ statistically from each other by Kruskal-Wallis with the Nemenyi means test (p ≤ 0.05).

The High VARI class represented the best performing trees with DBH for plot (29.18 cm) (Figure 3a), total height (21.13 m), and commercial height (17.49 m) (Figure 3b), which were higher than the Very Low class. In comparison, trees in the Very Low class exhibited the lowest growth indices in terms of total height (17.52 m) and commercial height (13.64 m) (Figure 3b). Similar responses were observed for wood volume (with and without bark). In regard to wood volume with bark, its increased by 0.21 m³ from the Very Low class (0.38 m³) to the High class (0.59 m³); without considering bark, the volume increase was 0.20 m³ between these classes (0.36 to 0.57 m³) (Figure 3c). Trunk biomass was higher in the High VARI class (279 kg with bark and 266 kg without bark), compared to the Low class (152 kg with bark and 144 kg without bark). Although the Low class had the lowest values, they were numerically closer to the Very Low class (Figure 3d).

3.2 WOOD DENSITY AND GROWTH RING WIDTH

During the 14 years, X-ray profiles (Figure S3) showed no difference in wood density among classes, with averages from Very Low to High being 0.58, 0.50, 0.55, and 0.56 g cm⁻³, respectively (Table S1). Regarding growth rings, all tree classes showed highest growth values in the first four years (on average, Very Low – 1.60 cm, Low - 1.69 cm, Medium - 1.76 cm, and High - 1.80 cm) that stabilized after the seventh (Figure 4a and Table S2). Thus, all classes displayed similar behavior for ring growth and density (Figure 4). Wood density generally increased over time in a non-linear fashion (Table S1 and Figure 4b). In the last four years (2018 to 2021), despite showing no differences, the classes exhibited linear behavior among themselves in relation to growth; respective average values from lowest to highest VARI classes were 0.26, 0.30, 0.39, and 0.45 cm (Table S2). Specifically, in the fourteenth year, the High class displayed numerically higher growth (0.43 cm) and density (0.75 g cm⁻³), and the Very Low class displayed numerically lower growth (0.26 cm) and density (0.60 g cm⁻³) (Figure 4 and Tables S1 and S2).





LEGEND: The data showed no statistical difference according to the Kruskal-Wallis with the Nemenyi means test ($p \le 0.05$).

3.3 SYMPTOMS AND ELEMENTS IN EVALUATED COMPONENTS

In the field, yellowing of needles (chlorosis) was only observed in trees belonging to the Very Low VARI class; yellowing beginning at needle tips migrated towards medial portions (Figures S4a and S4b). In needles with more advanced yellowing (closer to basal portions), needle tips began to present symptoms of necrosis (Figure S4a). In addition, trees in the Very Low and Low VARI classes presented thin cups, which may be indicative of early needle fall (Figure S4c).

In general, the evaluation of the different components (needles, wood, bark, fine roots, litter, and soil) among studied classes suggest that chlorosis was likely

related to a Mg issue; observations indicated that Mg was significantly ($p \le 0.01$ or $p \le 0.05$) associated with symptoms or exhibited a trend ($0.5) in seven of the nine components evaluated (Chart 1). Furthermore, Mg levels in first flush needles from the upper third of the canopy showed a strong correlation with total tree height (0.72) and a moderate correlation with volume of wood with bark (0.67) (Figure 5). Calcium was also shown to be associated with chlorotic symptoms with differences (significance of <math>p \le 0.05$ and trend of 0.05) noted in five of the components (Chart 1). Other elements showed responses such as Ba differing in wood and bark (trend also noted in needles), Cr differing in needles, B showing a trend in bark, and Mn showing a trend in fine roots (Chart 1). A commonality in differences and trends observed for Mg, Ca, Ba, Cr, B, and Mn in mentioned components was that they were all found to have smaller concentrations in the lowest VARI class (Very Low) where chlorostic symptoms were observed.

CHART 1 – RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SYMPTOMS OF NUTRITIONAL DEFICIENCY (CHLOROSIS) AND ELEMENTS IN DIFFERENT COMPONENTS (NEEDLES, WOOD, BARK, FINE ROOTS, LITTER, AND SOIL) IN A 14 YEARS-OLD Pinus taeda CULITIVATION IN DIFFERENT VARI CLASSES (VERY

| WV, LOW, MEDIAN AND FIGURAL Language And LANDAR AND FIGURAL A | | , | | | | | | | | | | - ⁹ |
|---|-----------------|-------------|---------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|--------|------|----------------|
| $ W_{V, LVW, MUDTUON, AND FINCTUAL EVALUATED IN BITUONIA, FAXAVA, BIXAZIL. Needles$ | 2 | U7 | ı | * and ** | * | | | ı | 1 | | ı | 41 10 10100 |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | M | MIN | ı | | | | | t | | | · | 1 |
| $ \begin{array}{c cccc} \matrix LOW, INTERTION, AND FIGURATION, FARMANA, DRAZIL. \\ \hline Evaluated Observation (1) Ca Mg P K AI B B Ba Cr Cu \\ \matrix Volume Volume Vector S0 & t & t & t & t & t & t & t & t & t & $ | ¢ L | ге | | * | | t | * | | | | 1 | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | ÷ | cu | - | * | * and ** | | | - | - | | | |
| W, LOW, MEDIUM, AND TIGTUE EVALUATED IN DICUMA, FARANA, BRAALA Evaluated Observation(1) Ca Mg P K AI B Ba Needles - t t * t * t t t Wood upper > 50 * t t * * and ** * and ** Wood upper > 50 * t t * * and ** * and ** Bark upper > 50 * t * * * and ** * Bark upper > 50 * t * * * * and ** Bark upper > 50 * t * * * and ** * Bark upper > 50 * t * * * * * * * Bark upper > 50 * t * </td <td>č</td> <td>C C</td> <td>*</td> <td>ı</td> <td>ı</td> <td>ı</td> <td>ı</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ı</td> <td>ı</td> <td></td> | č | C C | * | ı | ı | ı | ı | | | ı | ı | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Ğ | Da | t | * | * | * and ** | | - | - | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c cccc} With Low, WEDROW, AND FIGH (1) Ca Mg P K Al \\ \hline Evaluated Component Observation (1) Ca Mg P K Al \\ Needles - I t * t * t * Al \\ Nood upper > 50 * t * t * t * t * t * Al \\ Wood upper > 50 * t * t * t * t * t * t * t * t * t * $ | ٥ | D | ı | * and ** | * and ** | t. | t | - | t | | - | - J- /0LO F |
| Wy Low, Mediated Observation(1) Ca Mg P K Evaluated Observation(1) Ca Mg P K Needles - t * t * Wood upper > 50 * t * t Wood upper > 50 * t * t Bark upper > 50 * t * * Fine roots - * * * * Soil - * * * * Soil - * * * * | V | AI | - | * | | | | • | | | - | |
| With Low, Multiple in the interval inte | 2 | ۷ | ı | +- | | | | | | | | <u> </u> |
| With Low, Multiplication Mg Evaluated Observation(1) Ca Mg Needles - t * Wood upper > 50 * t Wood upper > 50 * t Bark upper > 50 * t Fine roots - * * Soil - * * Soil - * * | 6 | r | t | t | - | ı | ı | - | - | ı | - | |
| Wood Deservation(1) Ca Evaluated Observation(1) Ca Needles - t Wood below < 50 | ν | BM | * | ı | t | t | * | ** | t | * | ı | |
| With Component Observation (1) Evaluated Observation (1) Component Observation (1) Needles - Wood upper > 50 Bark upper > 50 Fine roots - Litter - Soil - | ç | Ca | t | * | | * | | * | t | * | ı | |
| Evaluated Component Needles Wood Bark Fine roots | Obcomination(1) | ODServation | | below < 50 | upper > 50 | below < 50 | upper > 50 | | < 2 mm | > 2 mm | | |
| | Evaluated | component | Needles | | DOOVY | | Dark | Fine roots | | LIIIe | Soil | |

top of the tree (50, 75, and 100% of commercial height).

Symbols within the chart: t = trend between classes, significant difference between classes of $0.05); * = Significant difference between classes of p <math>\le 0.05$; ** = Significant difference between classes of p ≤ 0.01 ; * and ** = Significant difference between classes of p ≤ 0.05 for different height samplings.

Statistical differences based on analysis by Kruskal-Wallis with Nemenyi means test between classes.

Blue coloring indicate variables with a direct relationship to chlorotic symptoms (higher values in the High VARI class), and red coloring represents an inverse relationship to chlorotic symptoms (higher values in the Very Low VARI class).

SOURCE: The author (2023).

FIGURE 5 – CORRELATION GRAPH BETWEEN Mg IN NEEDLES FROM THE UPPER THIRD OF THE CANOPY OF THE FIRST FLUSH WITH TOTAL HEIGHT (y = 18.132x + 14.146, r = 72%) REPRESENTED BY BLACK DOTS AND TRUNK VOLUME WITH BARK (y = 1.0865x + 0.1643, r = 67%) REPRESENTEDED BY WHITE DOTS FOR 14 YEAR-OLD *Pinus taeda* IN BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL



On the other hand, levels of some elements seem to have an inverse relationship with chlorotic symptoms where their quantities were greater in lower VARI classes. Among elements, there were trends for P (needle and wood), K (wood), and Fe (bark) along with significant differences for AI, B, Cu, and Zn in wood (Chart 1). Other elements such as Ni and V showed no difference among classes. Thus, there were strong indications that P, K, AI, B, Ba, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, V, and Zn concentrations may be not related to observed symptoms, and the relationship with Ba, Cr, and Mn was not strong enough to explain field symptoms. In addition, other elements (i.e., As, Cd, Co, Mo, Pb, Sb, and Se) were below the quantifiable detections limits of the ICP-OES device in all classes.

3.4 TREE TISSUE - NEEDLE, WOOD, BARK AND FINE ROOTS IN LITTER

The mass of 100 needles did not vary among VARI classes. However, values of all classes were lower in the lower third (2.28 to 3.07 g) compared to the upper third of the canopy for the first (4.06 to 5.10 g) and second needle flushes (3.92 to 4.75 g) (Table 1).

TABLE 1 – CONCENTRATIONS OF NUTRIENTS AND AI IN NEEDLES OF THE LOWER (SECOND FLUSH) AND UPPER THIRD (FIRST AND SECOND FLUSH) OF THE CANOPY OF A 14 YEAR-OLD *Pinus taeda* CULTIVATION IN DIFFERENT VARI CLASSES (VERY LOW, LOW, MEDIUM, AND HIGH) EVALUATED IN BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL.

| Classes | 100 needles | ٩ | ¥ | A | ß | Ва | ບັ | Cu | Fe | Mn | Ż | > | Zn | K/Mg |
|----------|----------------|--------|------------------|-------|--------|-----------|------------|----------|--------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|-------|
| | D | g k | (g ⁻¹ | | | | | ш | g kg ⁻¹ | | | | | ı |
| | | | | | Lov | ver third | of tree ci | rown – S | econd flu | sh | | | | |
| Very Low | 2.28 a | 1.27 a | 5.61 a | 434 a | 2.33 a | 1.80 a | 0.63 b | 4.84 a | 117 a | 195 a | 1.82 a | 0.18 a | 25.32 a | 25 a |
| Low | 3.07 a | 1.10 a | 4.64 a | 361 a | 2.37 a | 1.63 a | 0.64 b | 4.44 a | 99.31 a | 200 a | 0.83 a | 0.12 a | 20.54 a | 21 a |
| Medium | 2.53 a | 1.04 a | 4.78 a | 333 a | 2.89 a | 2.80 a | 0.76 ab | 4.65 a | 112 a | 287 a | 1.20 a | 0.10 a | 17.25 a | 13 b |
| High | 2.35 a | 1.04 a | 4.79 a | 380 a | 2.35 a | 2.94 a | 1.32 a | 4.21 a | 127 a | 244 a | 1.26 a | 0.18 a | 19.11 a | 14 ab |
| | | | | | Ο | pper thir | d of tree | crown – | First flusł | Ē | | | | |
| Very Low | 4.30 a | 1.26 a | 4.43 a | 493 a | 2.30 a | 1.76 a | 0.70 b | 3.48 a | 153 a | 146 a | 1.13 a | 0.26 a | 27.89 a | 20 a |
| Low | 5.10 a | 1.10 a | 4.05 a | 390 a | 2.44 а | 1.61 a | 0.81 ab | 4.25 a | 161 a | 139 a | 1.85 a | 0.30 a | 33.65 a | 18 ab |
| Medium | 4.28 a | 1.07 a | 4.44 a | 427 a | 3.01 a | 1.76 a | 0.87 ab | 4.01 a | 157 a | 185 a | 2.03 a | 0.27 a | 26.41 a | 14 ab |
| High | 4.06 a | 1.04 a | 4.57 a | 483 a | 2.17 a | 2.33 a | 1.14 a | 4.82 a | 150 a | 160 a | 1.40 a | 0.30 a | 21.91 а | 13 b |
| | | | | | Up | per third | of tree ci | rown – S | econd flu | sh | | | | |
| Very Low | 4.47 a | 1.37 a | 5.02 a | 513 а | 3.80 a | 1.67 a | 0.75 b | 4.61 a | 157 a | 255 a | 2.07 a | 0.24 a | 39.99 a | 16 a |
| Low | 4.75 a | 1.18 a | 4.81 a | 386 a | 3.63 а | 1.52 a | 0.92 ab | 4.84 a | 133 a | 199 a | 3.74 а | 0.20 a | 46.16 a | 16 a |
| Medium | 4.69 a | 1.12 a | 4.54 a | 414 a | 4.94 a | 1.63 a | 0.75 b | 4.19 a | 142 a | 247 a | 3.24 a | 0.21 a | 30.00 a | 13 a |
| High | 3.92 a | 1.08 a | 4.66 a | 471 a | 3.18 a | 2.33 a | 1.10 a | 4.40 a | 134 a | 231 a | 2.79 a | 0.22 a | 28.31 a | 12 a |

statistically from each other by Kruskal-Wallis with Nemenyi mean test ($p \le 0.05$). SOURCE: The author (2023). Mear
Needle Ca concentration showed a trend (0.05 for the lowest valuesbeing in the Very Low class in the three crown sampling positions (Figures 6a, 6c, and $6e). Mg concentration was higher (<math>p \le 0.05$) or tended (0.05) to be higher inall needle portions evaluated for the higher VARI classes (Medium and High) (Figures6b, 6d, and 6f). The highest VARI class had higher Cr levels in the three needleportions evaluated (Table 1). Thus, chlorotic symptoms observed in the field wereprobably associated with Mg and Ca deficiencies causing needle fall and thin canopies.



SOURCE: The author (2023).

LEGEND: Colored bars represent means and vertical lines represent error bars (SD). The Y axes represent nutrients and respective measurement units. Each bar color represents a VARI class, with the lowest VARI class on the left and the highest VARI class on the right. Means followed by different letters within each evaluated parameter between VARI classes differ statistically from each other by Kruskal-Wallis with the Nemenyi means test ($p \le 0.05$).

The most abundant elements in first flush needles (upper third of canopy) followed the order K > Ca > P > Mg. This same order was observed for needles of the second flush from the upper and lower thirds of the canopy (Table 1 and Figures 6a to

6f). Considering the superiority of K level over other nutrients (especially Mg), a high K/Mg ratio was noted in all classes (Table 1). In relation to other elements, the high levels of Al, Mn, and Fe in needles were notable and varied from 333 to 513, 139 to 287, and 99.31 to 161 mg kg⁻¹, respectively (Table 1).

Wood tissue from the Very Low class at most evaluated heights had the highest levels of B, Zn, and Al, while the opposite occurred for Ba, Ca, and Cu (Table S3). Boron concentrations were highest in the lowest VARI class (Very Low) at all heights evaluated, while Zn was highest along 50% of commercial height and Al was highest at the base. Conversely, the High class showed the highest levels of Ba up to 50%, while the Ca in wood at 25% of height was lower, as well as Cu at the same height and the last two heights (75 and 100% of commercial height) (Table S3). The most abundant element in wood in all classes was K, followed by Ca, P, and Mg, where the latter had very close values across classes and heights (Table S3). Among other elements, wide variation was observed between wood tissue along the commercial height for B (0.61 to 4.85 mg kg⁻¹), Zn (1.87 to 18.69 mg kg⁻¹), Fe (0.41 to 52.73 mg kg⁻¹), and Al (7.02 to 47.84 mg kg⁻¹).

Similar to wood, K was the most abundant element in bark followed by Ca, P, and Mg (Figure 7 and Table S4). However, elements in bark varied less as a function of sampling height. Calcium and Ba exhibited a direct relationship with VARI class in lower trunk portions (base, DBH, and 25% of commercial height); this was also true for Mg at all commercial height positions (Figure 7). In general, increased concentrations of Ca, Mg, K, B, Cu, Mn, and Zn were observed as a function of sampling height, with increases on the order of 1.6, 5.5, 5.2, 2.7, 1.8, 3.3, and 11 times, respectively. In both wood and bark, levels of As, Cd, Cr, Co, Mo, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, and V were below ICP-OES detection limits. FIGURE 7 – RESPECTIVE CALCIUM AND MAGNESIUM LEVELS IN BARK OF 14 YEAR-OLD *Pinus taeda* THE BASE (a, b), AT DIAMETER AT BREAST HEIGHT (1.3 m) (c, d), AND 25% (e, f), 50% (g, h), 75% (i, j), AND 100% (k, I) OF COMMERCIAL TREE HEIGHT FOR DIFFERENT VARI CLASSES (VERY LOW, LOW, MEDIUM, AND HIGH) EVALUATED IN BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL



SOURCE: The author (2023).

LEGEND: Colored bars represent means and vertical lines represent error bars (SD). The Y axes represent the nutrient and its respective measurement units. Each bar color represents a class of VARI, with the lowest VARI class on the left and the highest VARI class on the right. Means followed by different letters within each evaluated parameter between VARI classes differ statistically from each other by Kruskal-Wallis with the Nemenyi means test (p ≤ 0.05).

A direct relationship was observed between fine root Ca and Mg concentrations and VARI classes, with levels ranging from 0.11 to 0.23 g kg⁻¹ for Mg and 0.56 and 1.37 g kg⁻¹ for Ca from the Very Low to High classes, respectively

(Figures 6g and 6h). The Ca/Al ratio in fine roots had a lower numeric value in the Very Low class (0.77) and the highest in the High class (1.66). For the K/Mg ratio, behavior between classes varied with the lowest numeric value found in the High class (5.38) and the highest numeric value in the Low class (8.72) (Table S5).

3.5 LITTER

Litter accumulation followed the descending order of: Very Low > Low > High > Medium (Figure 8a). Although the High class (42 Mg ha⁻¹) did not differ from the Low and Very Low classes, the litter accumulation value was closer to the Medium class (39 Mg ha⁻¹). Thus, High and Medium classes showed greater differences in amounts versus the Very Low (64 Mg ha⁻¹) and Low (53 Mg ha⁻¹) classes. Despite the difference in litter accumulation, there were no differences in Ca and Mg contents in litter (Figures 8b and 8c). The only nutrient that had a difference content among classes in the litter was P, where it was higher in the Very Low class and lower in the Medium class (Table S6). In contrast, concentrations of Ca and Mg were higher ($p \le 0.05$) in the litter fraction > 2 mm in the High class compared to the Very Low class. For the litter fraction < 2 mm, there was a tendency (0.05) for Ca and Mg concentrations to be lower in the Very Low class and higher in the High class (Figures 8d to 8g). The thin litter fraction (< 2 mm) showed no differences between classes for evaluated elements; this could be associated with soil contamination in this fraction given that high levels of Fe and Al were observed (Table S6).

FIGURE 8 – ACCUMULATED LITTER AMOUNT (a), CONTENT IN kg ha⁻¹ OF CALCIUM (b) AND MAGNESIUM (c) IN THE ACCUMULATED LITTER AND RESPECTIVE CALCIUM AND MAGNESIUM LEVELS IN LITTER FRACTIONS GREATER THAN 2 mm (d, e) AND LESS THAN 2 mm (f, g) IN A 14 YEAR-OLD *Pinus taeda* CULTIVATION IN DIFFERENT VARI CLASSES (VERY LOW, LOW, MEDIUM, AND HIGH) EVALUATED IN BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL



SOURCE: The author (2023).

LEGEND: Figure a: vertical lines represent standard errors; horizontal lines the minimum and maximum values; rectangles the mean \pm the standard error; and the central black squares the mean. Figures b to g: bars represent the means and vertical lines represent the error bars (SD). The Y axes represent the nutrient and respective measurement units. Each bar color represents a VARI with the lowest VARI class on the left and the highest VARI class on the right. Means followed by different letters within each evaluated parameter between VARI classes differ statistically from each other by Kruskal-Wallis with the Nemenyi means test ($p \le 0.05$).

3.6 SOIL CHEMISTRY

In general, soils associated with all classes were of very low fertility, extremely acidic, high in Al³⁺ and Al³⁺ saturation, low in bases and base saturation, and had high buffer power as a function of large organic matter amounts at all depths (Table S7 and S8). Unlike pine tissue, differences in soil for classes were very small, had lower P availability in the 0.20–0.40 m layer, and had lower lime recommendations in the 0–0.20 m layer for the High VARI class. At a depth of 0.40-0.60 m, the High class had the numeric lowest aluminum saturation (88%) and the highest numeric base saturation (1.78%) (Table S7).

Among micronutrients and other elements evaluated, there was only differences for Cr, Cu, and Mo. Smaller Cr quantities were observed for the Medium class at the 0-0.20 m and 0.20-0.40 m depths. Copper was higher in the Very Low class (5.61 mg dm⁻³ at 0.20-0.40 m and 6.06 mg dm⁻³ at 0.40-0.60 m) compared to the High class (4.14 mg dm⁻³ at 0.20-0.40 m and 4.55 mg dm⁻³ at 0.40-0.60 m). At the 0.20-0.40 m depth, Mo was at a higher level (5.20 mg dm⁻³) in the High class, while the lowest value was found in the Medium class (1.47 mg dm⁻³) (Table S8).

3.7 SOIL PEDOLOGY AND GRANULOMETRY

Evaluated areas showed several overlaps in soil classification (Table 2). However, separation by VARI showed that sites belonging to the High VARI class had a stronger water regime, which may have enhanced productivity at these sites (Table 2). All evaluated profiles identified soils of very low fertility (Tables S9 and S10), which could be associated with acidic eruptive rocks (rhyodacite/rhyolite) being poor parent material. Soil granulometry varied widely within the same class in the field but did not justify the separation of classes. Considering all VARI classes, the surficial horizon had soil clay content ranging from 288 to 750 g kg⁻¹, while differences in subsurface horizons ranged from 313 to 800 g kg⁻¹; in both cases, soils were classified as clay loam to very clayey in texture (Table S11). Therefore, there was no difference between VARI classes in terms of soil type, depth to impediment layer, surface horizon type, horizon thickness, and texture (Tables 2 and S11). However, attributes of landscape position and relief (when analyzed together) help explain behavior differences, especially for the Very Low and High VARI classes. Very Low VARI plots were positioned on slightly elevated sites in the upper third of the slope (1 and 3% slopes) and moderately steep to steep (12 and 22% slopes) sites in the middle third (Table 2). Plots of the High class were located in the middle and lower thirds, with three plots on landscapes that favored accumulation of water (Table 2) and the fourth plots on a slope with gentle relief (7% slopes). This information suggests favorable conditions for the High class in terms of water and nutrient conservation. In addition, two Very Low plots positioned in the upper third of the slope did not experience moisture benefits from subsurface runoff of upstream lands (Table 2). The other two VARI classes (Low and Medium) presented intermediate characteristics.

| JAL | JLD | |
|--------------|--------------|------------------|
| JRFIC | EAR-(| |
| HE SL | 14 YE | |
| OF T | A NI | |
| ESS (| (H - H | |
| ICKN | ЫН | |
| D TH | AND | |
| EAN |) ک | |
| TYP | DIUM | |
| TION | , ME | |
| IFICA | − – M | |
| LASS | Ľ, LO | |
| DIL CI | ^ - √ | |
| SC | Y LO | |
| INAG | (VER | |
| DRA | -ASS | |
| OPE | RI CL | |
| E, SL | AN H | _ |
| SCAP | I EAC | RAZI |
| AND | ITHIN | NÁ. B |
| L H L | N | PARA |
| NIN | UATI | INA. F |
| SITIO | EVAL | TURL |
| , PO | EPTH | IN BI |
| ATES | IM DE | TION |
| RDIN | SOLU | TIVA. |
| 000 | AND | ^a CUL |
| Ε2 – | ZON | taeda |
| TABL | HOR | Pinus |
| | | |

| | | | | ט אלור | | : | : | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|-------------------|------------|-------------|
| Class - | Coor | dinates | Position in | Close | | Soil CI | assification | Surficia | al Horizon | Solum |
| Repetition | Latitude | Longitude | the landscape | adoic | urainage | SiBCS ⁽¹⁾ | WRB ⁽²⁾ | Type | Thickness | depth |
| | | ı | | % | | | | ı | сm | сm |
| VL - R1 | -26.2844 | -51.5398 | Middle third | 12 | Well drained | CHd típico | dy Regosol (ce. ai. hu) | A Humic | 35 | 100+ |
| VL - R2 | -26.2846 | -51.5404 | Middle third | 22 | Well drained | CHd típico | Endoleptic Regosol (ce. ai. dh) | A Humic | 40 | 95+ |
| VL - R3 | -26.2897 | -51.5424 | Upper third | ო | Well drained | CHd típico | dy Regosol (ce. ai. hu) | A Humic | 35 | 100+ |
| VL - R4 | -26.2876 | -51.5456 | Upper third | - | Well drained | CHd típico | dy Regosol (ce. ai. hu) | A Humic | 35 | 100+ |
| L - R1 | -26.2849 | -51.5392 | Middle third | 8 | Well drained | CHd típico | dy Regosol (ce. ai. hu) | A Humic | 35 | 100+ |
| L - R2 | -26.2852 | -51.5407 | Middle third | 16 | Well drained | CHd leptofragmentário | Endoleptic Regosol (ce. ai. hu) | A Humic | 30 | 85+ |
| L - R3 | -26.2883 | -51.5421 | Middle third | 0 | Well drained | RLh típico | Epileptic Regosols (ce. ai. hu) | A Humic | 35 | 40+ |
| L - R4 | -26.2874 | -51.5447 | Middle third | 15 | Well drained | RRh léptico | Endoleptic Regosols (ce. ai. hu) | A Humic | 20 | 80+ |
| M - R1 | -26.2858 | -51.5403 | Middle third | 11 | Well drained | CHd típico | gr Ferralsol (ce. ai. dy. hu) | A Humic | 37 | 100+ |
| M - R2 | -26.2859 | -51.5412 | Middle third | 14 | Well drained | CHd típico | gr Ferralsol (Io. ai. dy. dh) | A Humic | 30 | 91+ |
| M - R3 | -26.2880 | -51.5413 | Upper third | 4 | Well drained | CHd leptofragmentário | Endoleptic Regosol (ce. ai. dh) | A Humic | 38 | 100+ |
| M - R4 | -26.2870 | -51.5444 | Middle third | 11 | Well drained | CHd leptofragmentário | Endoleptic Regosol (ce. ai. hu) | A Humic | 35 | 98+ |
| H - R1 | -26.2858 | -51.5396 | Watered middle third | 10 | Imperfectly drained | CHd típico | Endoleptic Regosol (lo. ai. jh) | A Humic | 47 | +62 |
| H - R2 | -26.2865 | -51.5403 | Watered middle third | 7 | Imperfectly drained | RRd leptofragmentário | Endoleptic Regosols (ce. ai. hu) | A Humic | 25 | +02 |
| H - R3 | -26.2877 | -51.5424 | Watered bottom third | 9 | Imperfectly drained | CHd leptofragmentário | Endoleptic Regosol (ce. al. ih) | A Humic | 45 | +06 |
| H - R4 | -26.2878 | -51.5442 | Middle third | 7 | Well drained | CHd típico | dy Regosol (ce. ai. jh) | A Humic | 45 | 100+ |
| (1) Following t RLh = NEOS | he classific SOLO LITĆ | ation criteria (ÚLICO Húmic | of the Brazilian Sc so; RRh = NEOSS | oil Classifi SOLO RE | ication System - GOLÍTICO Húm | SiBCS (Embrapa, 20 ico; RRd = NEOSSC | 018), where CHd = CAME NLO REGOLÍTICO Distrói | BISSOLOS fico. | HÚMICOS D | istróficos; |
| Humic. dh = t | orofundihun | nic. and ih = | urure vvorua Nere hvperaumic. | מופוורפ המ | | | zu i uj uj - njsuitu, ue - v | olayıc, io - | | |
| SOURCE: Th | ne author (2 | 023). | | | | | | | | |

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 SOIL DEPLETION BY SUCCESSIVE FOREST CROPS

Regardless of class, associated soils were of very low fertility, extremely acidic, and had high buffering power due to the impoverished acidic nature of parent igneous material such as rhyodacite and rhyolite (Bonfatti, 2012). Since the site had undergone two rotations without fertilization and liming, nutrient exhaustion could further help explain the low fertility status of soils (Ferreira et al., 2001; Gatiboni et al., 2017; 2020; Vidaurre et al., 2020; Consalter et al., 2021b). Since *Pinus* spp. are commonly planted on marginal poorly managed lands, impoverished soils in such areas are quite common (Smethurst, 2010; Cherobim et al., 2010; Moro et al., 2014; Abrão et al., 2015; Batista et al., 2015; Rabel et al., 2018; Sass et al., 2020; Consalter et al., 2021a). However, soils had high organic matter levels down to 40-60 cm, which provided high buffering capacity and a reservoir for N, S, and P.

According to the Manual of Fertilization and Liming for the State of Paraná (Paraná State Nucleus of the Brazilian Society of Soil Science [SBCS/NEPAR], 2019), soil pH was very low (< 4.0) with very high Al^{3+} (> 2.5 cmol_c dm⁻³). For all classes, manual guidelines indicated that K was low and Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and P were very low; there were very small variations among these limiting nutrients. The combination of very high Al and very low Mg has been reported to decrease needle Mg concentration in a spruce (*Picea abies*) forest (Wit et al., 2010) indicating that their interaction should not be overlooked.

4.2 GROWTH HETEROGENEITY VISUALIZATION BY VARI

The current study indicated that the VARI method was capable of capturing growth heterogeneity in a commercial *P. taeda* plantation. Studies of Larrinaga and Brotons (2019) and Pertille and Nicoletti (2022) showed good results for evaluating *Pinus* spp. cultivations using the VARI technique. Other remote sensing methods, such as the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) have also proven to be efficient for evaluation of *Pinus* spp. forest cultivations (Brito et al. 2021; Munhoz et al. 2022). The greatest differences observed in tree growth occurred between the High (0.33) and Very Low (0.17) VARI classes, where the High class had the largest trees and the

Very Low class had the smallest. This probably occurred since some parameters for the Low (0.23) and Medium (0.28) VARI classes were similar to each other or with extreme classes (Very Low and High).

Tree growth in our study could be considered normal since an evaluation of a 16 year-old forest in the same municipality by Carvalho et al. (2021) found a trunk biomass value of 286.5 kg, which was similar to the High VARI class (279 kg with bark and 266 kg without bark). Studying a 14 year-old forest in a nearby municipality, Watzlawick et al. (2013) also found mean values of DBH, total height, and volume (without bark) of 27.93 cm, 20.1 m, and 0.45 m³, respectively. Their results were higher than those found in our study for the Very Low class (25.88 cm, 17.52 m, and 0.36 m³), but lower than those of the High class (29.18 cm, 21.13 m, and 0.57 m³). Thus, tree growth potential at our experimental site appeared to be limited in the lower VARI classes.

Despite signs of chlorosis, observed growth in our study area could be considered high. This high growth was probably related to favorable climatic conditions in southern of Brazil as well as soil properties such as a deep A horizon and high organic matter levels compared to other world regions (Motta et al., 2014). In a cultivation of *P. taeda* (14 year-old) under different conditions in North Carolina (USA), Drake et al. (2010) reported lower values of DBH (18 cm) and total height (15 m) compared to our study. Overall, even our Very Low class had greater tree growth compared to *P. taeda* growth in its region of origin.

4.3 DENSITY AND GROWTH RING WIDTH

Wood density was not affected by VARI class and supported observations of Pereira et al. (2022) who found growth enhancement with no compromise in density without residue application. However, 7 year-old trees had density variations of 0.39 to 0.42 g cm⁻³, which was smaller than observed in our study. Similar to our findings, Rodriguez and Tomazello-Filho (2019) evaluated different residue application rates in a *P. taeda* system and observed that average growth of rings was 1.65 cm in the first three years; after 17 years, ring growth was 0.25 cm and density ranged from 0.47 to 0.82 g cm⁻³ over this period. Therefore, the behavior and values found in our study could be considered normal for pine, since such responses are often expected for fast-growing coniferous species (Panshin & Zeeuw, 1980; Tasissa & Burkhart, 1997;

Topanotti et al., 2021) and are in agreement with previous observations (Melo, 2015; Dobner Jr. et al., 2018; Topanotti et al., 2021). In addition, the proportion of late wood tends to be higher than early wood over time, which directly influences overall wood density since the late wood has higher density (Panshin & Zeeuw, 1980; Cown & Ball, 2001; Cato et al., 2006; Topanotti et al., 2021). In our study, we observed that the proportion of late wood became higher than early wood after 8 years of growth (Table S2).

4.4 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CHLOROTIC SYMPTOMS AND ELEMENTS IN TREE TISSUES AND LITTER

The mass of 100 needles did not differ between VARI classes and were generally similar or slightly below an average of 5 g for first and second flush needles from the upper crown as reported by Carlson et al. (2014) in North America. Second flush needles (lower third of canopy) in all VARI classes were very low and ranged from 2.28 to 3.07 g (Table 1), which indicates that the needle mass may be compromised.

Ours finding indicate that Mg was possibly the most limiting element, responsible for needle chlorosis and growth heterogeneity (Chart 1) as widely reported in other countries (Beets & Jokela, 1994; Meiwes, 1995; Ende & Evers; 1997; Sun & Payn, 1999; Mitchell et al., 2003; Cakmak & Yazici, 2010; Zhu et al., 2016; Chaudhry et al., 2021; Xie et al., 2021). Foliar Mg concentration ranged from 0.22 to 0.39 g kg⁻¹ (Figures 6b, 6d and 6f), which was far below the 0.8 g kg⁻¹ that many authors report as the critical limit (Table S12) (Reissmann, 1981; Sypert, 2006; Albaugh et al., 2010; Vogel et al., 2018). However, Sun and Payn (1999) defined 0.6 g kg⁻¹ as the limit for *Pinus radiata*, while the range of 0.20 to 0.25 g kg⁻¹ reported by Laing et al. (2000) was closer to that found in our study (Table S12).

In addition to concentration, observed field symptoms were consistent with those of deficiency previously reported in the literature for *P. taeda* Mg, where yellowing of tips can migrate to medial needle regions leading to necrosis/senescence over time, and is generally observed in canopy tops (Stone, 1953; Will, 1978; Turner et al., 1979; Beets & Jokela, 1994; Mitchell et al., 2003). Thus, observed values and symptoms lead to two likely conclusions: 1) deficiency was present throughout the site, but not at critical level for expression of symptoms; or 2) the critical limit reported in the

literature requires adjustments since symptoms were not observed in all VARI classes. Based on our study, we believe the second assumption is more plausible, and suggest adjusting the critical limit for needle Mg to 0.30 g kg⁻¹.

Trends (0.5 \leq 0.10) of variation among VARI classes for needle Ca concentration (0.86 to 1.98 g kg⁻¹) highlight that Ca can play a role in chlorosis and growth heterogeneity. Some needle Ca concentrations were below suggested critical levels [3.0 g kg⁻¹, Gonçalves (2005); 2.6 g kg⁻¹, Vogel et al. (2018); and 1.5 g kg⁻¹, Sypert (2006) and Albaugh et al. (2010)] (Table S12). However, if one considers the critical level of 0.8 g kg⁻¹ proposed by Reissmann (1981), the lowest value remained close to this critical level (Table S12).

Similar to influence of needle Mg and Ca concentrations on chlorosis and heterogeneity, fine root concentrations showed a wide range for Mg (0.11 to 0.23 g kg⁻¹) and Ca (0.56 and 1.37 g kg⁻¹) among VARI classes. Confirming our observations, Consalter et al. (2021b) showed that roots in litter can be very sensitive to Ca and Mg additions (liming) and that addition enhanced concentrations of Mg (0.23 to 2.7 g kg⁻¹) and Ca (1.28 to 7.9 g kg⁻¹) (Table S12). Additionally, Adam et al. (2021) reported concentrations of 0.10 g kg⁻¹ (Mg) and 0.20 g kg⁻¹ (Ca) without liming compared to 2.7 g kg⁻¹ (Mg) and 8.3 g kg⁻¹ (Ca) for the complete treatment (macro- and micronutrients and lime) (Table S12). Since Adam et al. (2021) studied low growth sites with needle chlorosis and attained values close to ours, this suggests a possible link to lack of Mg in our study. Fine root analysis proved to be a good indicator for nutritional assessment of *P. taeda* since levels found in roots were in accordance with chlorotic symptoms observed in needles.

High levels of Al in roots can diminish Mg and Ca concentrations well before Al levels impact seedling growth (Raynal et al., 1990). This suggests that high Al levels could contribute to lower levels of Mg and Ca. This could also explain the lowest Ca/Al ratio in the Very Low class (0.77) and the higher ratio in the High class (1.66) (Table S5). However, our observed values were higher than the Ca/Al critical limit of 0.2 for fine roots suggested by Vanguelova et al. (2007). Thus, possibly Al did not threaten root growth, neither Ca absorption, but it may have affected nutrition by reducing Mg absorption. In regards to K/Mg ratios, the lowest value (5.38, High VARI class) was still considered high for *P. taeda*. Excess K in relation to Mg may contribute to Mg deficiency in plantation cultures since these elements have an antagonistic relationship (Beets & Jokela, 1994; Sun & Payn, 1999; Xie et al., 2021).

As with needles and roots, results for bark showed Mg and Ca enhancement in accordance with class and growth. However, class effects occurred above and below 25% of commercial height for Mg and Ca, respectively with trends (0.5 < $p \le$ 0.10) at other heights. Changes in bark concentrations as a result of soil management were also noted by Wit et al. (2010) and Pereira et al. (2022). Wit et al. (2010) found that AI amendment increased its concentration and diminished Mg in the inner bark with a similar trend for the outer bark of spruce (*Pinus abies*), but Ca was not impacted. This finding suggests that low soil availability of Ca and Mg combined with high Al values can be a major factor leading to lack of these elements. Evaluating bark from 22 year-old *P. taeda*, Dedecek et al. (2008) found a variation of 0.20 to 0.55 g kg⁻¹ for Mg and 0.25 to 1.56 g kg⁻¹ for Ca (Table S12). These values were relatively close to those observed in our study, except for Mg where a minimum value of 0.05 g kg⁻¹ was obtained. Additionally, the attained Mg value was well below the 0.4 g kg⁻¹ value reported by Albaugh et al. (2008) (Table S12). Pereira et al. (2022) found levels of 0.15 to 0.19 g kg⁻¹ for Mg and 0.42 to 0.69 g kg⁻¹ for Ca in *P. taeda* bark (7 year-old), which were close to the Very Low VARI class (Table S12). In the same culture at 12 years old, Zhao et al. (2014) reported 0.4 g kg⁻¹ as the average Mg value (Table S12), which was closer to our highest VARI class. For Ca, they reported a value of 1.6 g kg⁻¹, which was approximately four times higher than that found in the Very Low class and greater than all values found in other classes.

Indications that tree bark can be affected by soil management was reported by Pereira et al. (2022). Observing bark and needle Mn concentrations, they were able to establish groups with low and high annual average increments for *P. taeda* amended with residue. These results suggest that bark can be useful to help evaluate tree nutrition and growth under some conditions, but a standardize sample height could facilitate this process.

Unlike other tissues, wood did not show differences among classes. Calcium (0.33 to 0.36 g kg⁻¹) and Mg (0.07 to 0.10 g kg⁻¹) in wood tissue obtained at DBH showed low variation. In a study of 11 to 15 year-old *P. taeda* in Texas, Angel et al. (2019) found Ca values approximately three times higher (0.9 g kg⁻¹) and 20 times higher for Mg (1.4 g kg⁻¹) (Table S12) when compared to findings of our study. Wood from 12 year-old *P. taeda* evaluated by Zhao et al. (2014) had levels of 0.6 g kg⁻¹ for Ca and 0.3 g kg⁻¹ for Mg, which was greater that observations in our study but lower than observations by Angel et al. (2019) (Table S12). Working with different rates of

industrial residue applied to 7 years-old *P. taeda* in Paraná (southern Brazil), Pereira et al. (2022) found Mg wood concentrations closer to those of our study (ranging from 0.16 to 0.18 g kg⁻¹) while Ca values were higher (ranging from 0.46 to 0.56 g kg⁻¹) (Table S12). Thus, Ca and Mg concentrations found in our study were lower due to possible differences in soil conditions.

In relation to other needle elements, Cr in the Very Low class was low (Table 1). However, Cr deficiency has not identified in pine and no critical limit exists in the literature. In all classes and canopy positions, B was close to 3 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 1), which is considered low based on previous reports of 15 mg kg⁻¹ (Reissmann, 1981), 12 mg kg⁻¹ (Gonçalves, 2005), and 10 mg kg⁻¹ (Sypert, 2006) (Table S12). As observed in some trees in our study, B deficiency can cause symptoms such as bifurcated trees, and curved or coiled branches/stems (Will, 1978; Turner et al., 1979; Martinez et al., 1989). Phosphorus, Fe, Zn, and Cu were within critical limits based on literature reports (Table S12) (Reissmann, 1981; Sypert, 2006; Albaugh et al., 2010; Vogel et al., 2018). Although soil P level was low, needle levels were adequate likely due to tree roots absorbing P from organic or inorganic fractions due to solubilization by mycorrhizal activity (Will, 1985; Shane & Lambers, 2005; Castro-Delgado et al., 2020). Needle Mn ranged from 139 to 287 mg kg⁻¹, which was far above the limit range of 20 to 40 mg kg⁻¹ suggested by Albaugh et al. (2010) (Table S12). Although high, our values were below the 395 mg kg⁻¹ reported by Carlson et al. (2014). Gomes et al. (2019) suggested that excess Mn could cause problems in cultures since it is more sensitive to toxicity and imbalance compared to Al.

Low levels of Ca, Mg, and B and high levels of Mn (on average, 207 mg kg⁻¹) in needles can cause greater tree nutritional problems, since simultaneous deficiency of more than one nutrient can make *in loco* interpretation of symptoms difficult. This was observed in a *P. caribaea* plantation located in the Cerrado region (Chaves & Corrêa, 2003; 2005). These authors observed chlorotic symptoms and needle senescence. When analyzed, symptomatic needle levels of Mn, Ca, and Mg (96.5 mg kg⁻¹, 0.02 g kg⁻¹, and 0.01 g kg⁻¹, respectively) were lower than in needles from healthy trees (177 mg kg⁻¹, 0.10 g kg⁻¹, and 0.04 g kg⁻¹, respectively).

In our study, K was the most abundant element in needles and ranged from 4.54 to 5.02 g kg⁻¹, which was close to values (3.00 to 5.47 g kg⁻¹) reported by Dedecek et al. (2008) (Table S12). Similar to our study, they found that nutrient levels in needles followed a decreasing order of K > Ca > P > Mg. In relation to needle K, limits

suggested in the literature were 3.5 g kg⁻¹ (Albaugh et al., 2010), 4 g kg⁻¹ (Reissmann, 1981), 6 g kg⁻¹ (Gonçalves, 2005), and 8.7 g kg⁻¹ (Vogel et al., 2018) (Table S12). Thus, our results were in the mid-range of these reported values. When comparing K and Mg, a study by Mitchell et al. (2003) with *P. radiata* found that the K/Mg ratio was always below 15, which was higher than in our Medium and High classes (12 to 14) but lower than our Very Low and Low classes (16 to 25) (Table 1). Therefore, a nutritional imbalance may also be occurring in the Very Low and Low classes where higher K may have negatively influenced Mg.

Wood tissue composition at DBH also showed low variation, and there were no differences between classes for P (0.08 to 0.13 g kg⁻¹) and K (0.65 to 0.88 g kg⁻¹). In 11 to 15 year-old *P. taeda* in Texas, Angel et al. (2019) found P and K levels in wood close to our study (0.1 and 0.9 g kg⁻¹, respectively) (Table S12). With different clones of *P. taeda* in Florida, Villacorta et al. (2015) obtained wood tissue values higher and/or close to values in our study for B (2.9 to 3.3 mg kg⁻¹) and Zn (7.6 to 8.8 mg kg⁻¹) (Table S12). However, Mn values reported by these authors ranged from 7.5 to 10.5 mg kg⁻¹, while values in our study ranged from 38.41 to 49.25 mg kg⁻¹.

Litter accumulation showed an inverse relationship with VARI, which corroborated with Reissmann and Wisniewski (2015) who found higher amounts of litter on poor sites due to low litterfall decomposition. Regardless of class, litter amounts observed in our study (42 to 64 Mg ha⁻¹) could be considered high and were similar to reports of Adam et al. (2021) (43.9 to 52.1 t ha⁻¹), Pereira et al. (2022) (29 to 52 Mg ha⁻¹), and Rabel et al. (2021) (35.8 to 42.1 Mg ha⁻¹) for poor and medium quality growth sites in southern Brazil. However, these amounts were well above values found at good sites (9 to 11 Mg ha⁻¹) in the same region (Quadros et al., 2021). In contrast, Ca and Mg enrichment was directly related to the greater than 2 mm litter fraction class with a similar trend (0.5 < p ≤ 0.10) for the less than 2 mm litter fraction class. Since neither fertilizer nor lime were applied, litter was able to adequately supply both elements from aboveground tree inputs. Understanding nutrient dynamics between litter and trees is a potentially important concept for understanding chlorosis.

Nutrient concentration in litter can be directly or indirectly affected by soil management (Rabel et al., 2021). In their study of different rates of alkaline residues of recycled paper applied to a *P. taeda* forest, litter layers (greater than 2 mm) representing residues with and without roots showed variations of 0.59 to 1.28 g kg⁻¹ for Mg and 0.67 to 3.30 g kg⁻¹ for Ca (Table S12). These Mg levels were higher than

those observed in our study (0.16 to 0.24 g kg⁻¹) for all VARI classes, while their Ca levels were close to our study (0.62 to 1.07 g kg⁻¹). Evaluating nutrient accumulation in a 16 year-old *P. taeda* cultivation, Sixel et al. (2015) found 9 kg ha⁻¹ of Mg in litter; this was similar to that observed in our study (9.81 kg ha⁻¹). However, their observations of Ca (27 kg ha⁻¹), K (9 kg ha⁻¹), and P (12 kg ha⁻¹) were much lower than our study (34.83, 46.81, and 28.36 kg ha⁻¹, respectively); this may indicate that the classes studied had difficulty cycling nutrients present in litter.

4.5 INFLUENCE OF LANDSCAPE AND SOIL PEDOLOGY ON FOREST HETEROGENEITY

Our pine production area was located on complex hilly terrain composed of soil originating from acid eruptive rock parent material (rhyodacite/rhyolite); slopes ranged between 1 and 22% with *solum* depths between 40 and more than 100 cm. Despite site variations, soil type, *solum* depth, and surface horizon thickness (Table 2 and S11) differences had less influence on pine growth and separation of VARI classes. However, in contrast, studies by Horst et al. (2018) and Horst-Heinen et al. (2021) noted that solum depth and surface horizon thickness were directly related to pine growth where deeper *solum* with thicker surface horizons had the largest trees.

In our study, we observed variations in slope and plot positioning on the landscape. The four High class plots were located in the middle and lower thirds of the slope; three plots were on landscapes that favored accumulation of water (a geomorphic dip), and the fourth was on a slope with gentle relief (7%) (Table 2). Horst et al. (2018) noticed that areas on the lower third of the slope (i.e., closer to the drainage network) tended to have the largest trees. Santos Filho and Rocha (1987) stated that lower development of *P. taeda* usually occurred on sandy soils in landscape positions that favor nutrient leaching and low water retention capacity. In general, our High class occupied landscape positions that were more conservative in terms of water and nutrient loss since gentle slopes and slow drainage reduced losses that may have favored pine development compared to VARI classes with sharper reliefs and faster-draining landscapes.

5 CONCLUSION

VARI efficiently captured tree growth heterogeneity, with a direct relationship noted between VARI and tree growth (height, DBH, volume, and trunk mass). Despite the productivity of the area (generally considered normal for this region), portions of the area classified as Very Low VARI had smaller tree growth, as well as symptoms of needle chlorosis similar to reports of Mg deficiency (yellowing of needle tips to medial regions). Needle Mg concentration was well below values considered to be critical (0.8 g kg⁻¹), and our results suggest reestablishing the critical level as 0.3 g kg⁻¹. Low concentrations of Mg in fine roots and tree bark supported needle observations. Similar findings were noted for litter, with the lowest Mg values and highest accumulation of litter found in low growth stands (lower VARI). Thus, needles, fine roots, bark and litter (> 2 mm) showed to be good indicators of the nutritional status of the plant. In addition, the low concentration of nutrients in the parental material, associated with high values of Al⁺³ combined with low Mg⁺² in soil, the intense leaching and depletion of the soil by the export of nutrients may have favored the occurrence of Mg deficiency in trees and than cause the chlorotic symptoms. Another factor that could have contributed to occurrence of Mg deficiency was the low concentrations of Ca⁺² in soil, tree tissues, and litter. Therefore, it is suggested that the area be managed as a whole, and be submitted to liming with dolomitic limestone.

6 SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

As suggestions for future research, it is suggested that the available water content in the soil should also be analyzed, as well as meteorological data collected in the study area. Also, verify if there are fractures in the rock below the *solum*. In addition, if possible, the number of plants per hectare should be determined for better notions of yield and productivity. Response to fertilization and liming for each VARI class should give a better indication of nutrients limitation.

7 REFERENCES

Abrão, S. F., Rosa, S. F., Reinert, D. J., Reichert, J. M., Secco, D., & Ebling, A. A. (2015). Alterações químicas de um Cambissolo Húmico causadas por florestamento com *Pinus taeda* em área de campo natural. *Floresta*, Curitiba, 45(3), 455-464. https://doi.org/ 10.5380/rf.v45i3.36103.

Adam, W. M., Rodrigues, V. S., Magri, E., Motta, A. C. V., Prior, S. A., Zambon, L. M., & Lima, R. L. D. (2021). Mid-rotation fertilization and liming of *Pinus taeda*: growth, litter, fine root mass, and elemental compositio *iForest: Biogeosciences and Forestry*, [*s. I.*], 14, 195-202. https://doi.org/10.3832/ifor3626-014.

Adams, M. B., Campbell, R. G., Allen, H. L., & Davey, C. B. (1987). Root and Foliar Nutrient Concentrations in Loblolly Pine: Effects of Season, Site, and Fertilization. *Forest Science*, [*s. I.*], 33(4), 984-996.

Albaugh, J. M., Blevins, L., Allen, H. L., Albaugh, T. J., Fox, T. R., Stape, J. L., & Rubilar, R. A. (2010). Characterization of Foliar Macro- and Micronutrient Concentrations and Ratios in Loblolly Pine Plantations in the Southeastern United States. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*, [*s. I.*], 34(2), 53-64. https://doi.org/10.1093/sjaf/34.2.53.

Albaugh, T. J., Allen, H. L., & Fox, T. R. (2008). Nutrient use and uptake in *Pinus taeda*. *Tree Physiology*, [*s. l*.], 28(1), 1083-1098. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/28.7.1083.

Alvares, C. A., Stape, J. L., Sentelhas, P. C., Gonçalves, J. L. M., & Sparovek, G. (2013). Köppen's climate classification map for Brazil. *Meteorologische Zeitschrift*, [*s. I.*], 22(6), 711-728. https://doi.org/10.1127/0941-2948/2013/0507.

Angel, H. Z., Priest, J. S., Stovall, J. P., Oswald, B. P., Weng, Y., & Williams, H. M. (2019). Individual tree and stand-level carbon and nutrient contents across one rotation of loblolly pine plantations on a reclaimed surface mine. *New Forests*, [*s. l.*], 50(1), 733-753. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-018-09696-4.

ArcGis Pro. (2021a). *Raster Calculator (Spatial Analyst)*. Retrieved from: https://pro.arcgis.com/en/pro-app/latest/tool-reference/spatial-analyst/raster-calculator.htm.

ArcGis Pro. (2021b). *Data classification methods*. Retrieved from https://pro.arcgis.com/en/pro-app/latest/help/mapping/layer-properties/data-classification-methods.htm.

Batista, A. H., Motta, A. C. V., Reissmann, C. B., Schneider, T., Martins, I. L., & Hashimoto, M. (2015). Liming and fertilization in *Pinus taeda* plantations with severe nutrient deficiency in savanna soils. *Acta Scientiarum Agronomy*, Maringá, 37(1), 117-125. https://doi.org/10.4025/actasciagrov37i1.18061.

Beets, P. N., & Jokela, E. J. (1994). Upper mid-crown yellowing in *Pinus radiata*: some genetic and nutritional aspects associated with its occurrence. *New Zealand*

Journal of Forestry Science, [s. l.], 24(1), 35-50.

Bonfatti, B. R. (2012). *Geotecnologias aplicadas ao levantamento de solos e da aptidão agrícola da microbacia lajeado dos mineiros, São José do Cerrito, SC* (Dissertação de Mestrado). Universidade do Estado de Santa Catarina, Lages, SC. Retrieved from

https://www.udesc.br/arquivos/cav/id_cpmenu/1463/DisBenito_15688947044245_14 63.pdf

Brito, V. V., Rubilar, R. A., Cook, R. L., Campoe, O. C., & Carter, D. R. (2021). Evaluating remote sensing indices as potential productivity and stand quality indicators for *Pinus radiata* plantations. *Scientia Forestalis*, [*s. l.*], 49(129), e3316. https://doi.org/10.18671/scifor.v49n129.08.

Cakmak, I., & Marschner, H. (1992). Magnesium deficiency and high light intensity enhance activities of superoxide dismutase, ascorbate peroxidase, and glutathione reductase in bean leaves. *Plant physiology*, [*s. I.*], 98(4), 1222-1227. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.98.4.1222.

Cakmak, I., & Yazici, A. M. (2010). Magnesium: a forgotten element in crop production. *Better crops*, [*s. l.*], 94(2), 23-25.

Carlson, C. A., Fox, T. R., Allen, H. L., Albaugh, T. J., Rubilar, R. A.; & Stape, J. L. (2014). Growth Responses of Loblolly Pine in the Southeast United States to Midrotation Applications of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, and Micronutrients. *For. Sci*, [*s. l.*], 60(1), 157-169. http://dx.doi.org/10.5849/forsci.12-158.

Carvalho, R. R., Trautenmuller, J. W., Carvalho, S. R., Costa Júnior, S., Silva, D. A., & Figueiredo Filho, A. (2021). Determination of the biomass stock in a mixed plantation of *Pinus taeda* L. and *Pinus elliottii* Engelm. *Advances in Forestry Science*, [s. *I*.], 8(2), 1455-1462. http://dx.doi.org/10.34062/afs.v8i2.10129.

Castro-Delgado, A. L., Elizondo-Mesén, S., Valladares-Cruz, Y., & Rivera-Méndez, W. (2020). Internet de las plantas: comunicación a través de la red micorrízica. *Revista Tecnología en Marcha*, [*s. l.*], 33(4), 114-125. https://doi.org/10.18845/tm.v33i4.4601

Cato, S., Mcmillan, L., Donaldson, L., Richardson, T., Echt, C., & Gardner, R. (2006). Wood formation from the base to the crown in *Pinus radiata*: gradients of tracheid wall thickness, wood density, radial growth rate and gene expression. *Plant Molecular Biology*, [*s. l.*], 60(1), 565-581. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11103-005-5022-9.

Chaudhry, A. H., Nayab, S., Hussain, S. B., Ali, M., & Pan, Z. (2021). Current understandings on magnesium deficiency and future outlooks for sustainable agriculture. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, [*s. I.*], 22(4), 2-18. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22041819.

Chaves, R. Q., & Corrêa, G. F. (2003). Micronutrientes no sistema solo-*Pinus caribaea* Morelet em plantios apresentando amarelecimento das acículas e morte de plantas. *Revista árvore*, Viçosa, 27(6), 769-778. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-67622003000600003.

Chaves, R. Q., & Corrêa, G. F. (2005). Macronutrientes no sistema solo-*Pinus caribaea* Morelet em plantios apresentando amarelecimento das acículas e morte de plantas. *Revista árvore*, Viçosa, 29(5), 691-700. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-67622005000500004.

Cherobim, V. F., Poggere, G. C., Santos, A. K. N., Motta, A. C. V., Reissmann, C. B., & Melo, V. F. (2010). Soil fertility of *Pinus taeda* L. areas with low growth rates in Jaguariaíva – Paraná State, Brazil. *Proceedings of the 19th World Congress of Soil Science: Soil solutions for a changing world*, Australia, 142-145.

Consalter, R., Barbosa, J. Z., Prior, S. A., Vezzani, F. M., Bassaco, M. V. M., Pedreira, G. Q., & Motta, A. C. V. (2021b). Mid-rotation fertilization and liming effects on nutrient dynamics of *Pinus taeda* L. in subtropical Brazil. *European Journal of Forest Research*, [s. I.], 140(1), 19-35. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-020-01305-4.

Consalter, R., Motta, A. C. V., Barbosa, J. Z., Vezzani, F. M., Rubilar, R. A., Prior, S. A., Nisgoski, S., & Bassaco, M. V. M. (2021a). Fertilization of *Pinus taeda* L. on an acidic oxisol in southern Brazil: growth, litter accumulation, and root exploration. *European Journal of Forest Research*, [s. *I*.], 140(1), 1095-1112. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-021-01390-z.

Cown, D. J., & Ball, R. D. (2001). Wood densitometry of 10 *Pinus radiata* families at seven contrasting sites: influence of tree age, site, and genotype. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science*, [*s. l.*], 31(1), 88-100.

Dedecek, R. A., Fier, I. S. N., Speltz, R., & Lima, L. C. S. (2008). Influência do sítio no desenvolvimento do Pinus taeda L. aos 22 anos: estado nutricional das plantas. *Floresta*, Curitiba, 38(2), 351-359. http://dx.doi.org/10.5380/rf.v38i2.11630.

Dell, M., Stone, C., Osborn, J., Glen, M., McCoull, C., Rimbawanto, A., Tjahyono B., Mohammed, C. (2019). Detection of necrotic foliage in a young *Eucalyptus pellita* plantation using unmanned aerial vehicle RGB photography – a demonstration of concept. *Australian Forestry*, [s. *l*.], 82(2), 79-88. https://doi.org/10.1080/00049158.2019.1621588.

DJI (2023) *Phantom 4 Pro V2.0. DJI*. Retrieved from https://www.dji.com/br/phantom-4-pro-v2/specs.

Dobner Jr., M., Huss, J., & Tomazello Filho, M. (2018). Wood density of loblolly pine trees as affected by crown thinnings and harvest age in southern Brazil. *Wood science and technology*, [*s. l.*], 52(2), 465-485. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-017-0983-9.

Drake, J. E., Raetz, L. M., Davis, S. C., & Delucia, E. H. (2010). Hydraulic limitation not declining nitrogen availability causes the age-related photosynthetic decline in loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.). *Plant, Cell and Environment*, [*s. l.*], 33, 1756-1766. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02180.x.

Embrapa – Empresa Brasileira De Pesquisa Agropecuária. (1997). *Manual de Métodos de Análise de Solo*. Rio de Janeiro: Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Solos.

Embrapa – Empresa Brasileira De Pesquisa Agropecuária. (2017). *Manual de Métodos de Análise de Solo.* Brasília: Embrapa.

Embrapa – Empresa Brasileira De Pesquisa Agropecuária. (2018). Sistema brasileiro de classificação de solos. Brasília: Embrapa.

Ende, H. P., & Evers, F. H. (1997). Visual magnesium deficiency symptoms (coniferous, deciduous trees) and threshold values (foliar, soil). In Hüttl, R. F., Schaaf, W. *Magnesium Deficiency in Forest Ecosystems*, Dordrecht: Springer. pp. 3-22.

FAO – Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation. (2015). *World* reference base for soil resources 2014: International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. Update 2015. Roma: FAO.

FAO – Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2021). *Forest Products: Annual Market Review 2020-2021*. Geneva: UNECE/FAO. ISBN 978-92-1-117279-9.

FAO – Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2020). *Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020: Main report*. Roma: FAO. https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9825en.

FAO – Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2018). *Global Forest products: facts and figures 2018*. Roma: FAO. Retrieved from https://www.fao.org/3/ca7415en/ca7415en.pdf.

Federer, C. A., Hornbeck, J. W., Tritton, L. M., Martin, C. W., & Pierce, R. S. (1989). Long-Term Depletion of Calcium and Other Nutrients in Eastern US Forests. *Environmental Management*, [*s. l.*], 13(5), 593-601. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01874965.

Ferreira, C. A., Silva, H. D., Reissmann, C. B., Bellote, A. F. J., & Marques, R. (2001). *Nutrição de Pinus no Sul do Brasil: Diagnóstico e Prioridades de Pesquisa*. Colombo: Embrapa.

Gatiboni, L. C., Silva, W. C., Mumbach, G. L., Schmitt, D. E., Iochims, D. A., Stahl, J., & Vargas, C. O. (2020). Use of exchangeable and nonexchangeable forms of calcium, magnesium, and potassium in soils without fertilization after successive cultivations with *Pinus taeda* in southern Brazil. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, [*s. I.*], 20, 665-674. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-019-02460-x.

Gatiboni, L. C., Vargas, C. O., Albuquerque, J. A., Almeida, J. A., Stahl, J., Chaves, S. M., Brunetto, G., Dall'orsoletta, D. J., & Rauber, L. P. (2017). Phosphorus fractions in soil after successive crops of *Pinus taeda* L. without fertilization. *Ciência Rural*, Santa Maria, 47(7), e20160595. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0103-8478cr2016059.

Gee, G., & Bauder, J. W. (1986). Particle-size analysis. In Klute, A. *Methods of Soil Analysis: physical and mineralogical methods*. American Society of Agronomy. Madison: Soil Science Society of America. pp. 383-409.

Gitelson, A. A., Stark, R., Grits, U., Rundquist D., Kaufman, Y., Derry, D. (2002).

Vegetation and soil lines in visible spectral space: a concept and technique for remote estimation of vegetation fraction. *International Journal of Remote Sensing,* [*s. I.*], 23(13), 2537-2562. https://calmit.unl.edu/people/agitelson2/pdf/07_IJRS-2002_23-2002.pdf.

Gomes, J. B. V., Bognola, I. A., Stolle, L., Santos, P. E. T., Maeda, S.; Silva, L. T. M.; Bellote, A. F. J.; & Andrade, G. C. (2016). Unidades de manejo para pinus: desenvolvimento e aplicação de metodologia em áreas de produção no oeste catarinense. *Scientia Forestalis*, Piracicaba, 44(109), 191-204. http://dx.doi.org/10.18671/scifor.v44n109.19.

Gomes, S. S., Gonçalves, J. L. M., Rocha, J. H. T., & Menegale, M. L. C. (2019). Tolerance of Eucalyptus and Pinus seedlings to exchangeable Aluminium. *Scientia Agricola*, [*s. I.*], 76(6), 494-500. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1678-992X-2018-0011.

Gonçalves, J. L. M. (2005). *Recomendações de Adubação para Eucalyptus, Pinus e Espécies Nativas.* Acervo Histórico IPEF: Informações Técnicas. Retrieved from https://www.ipef.br/publicacoes/acervohistorico/informacoestecnicas/recomendacoes______de__adubacao_para_eucalyptus_pinus_e_especies_nativas.aspx.

Horst, T. Z., Dalmolin, R. S. D., Caten, A. T., Moura-Bueno, J. M., Cancian, L. C., Pedron, F. A., & Schenato, R. B. (2018). Edaphic and Topographic Factors and their Relationship with Dendrometric Variation of *Pinus taeda* L. in a High Altitude Subtropical Climate. *Revista Brasileira e Ciência do Solo*, [s. *l*.], 42(0), e0180023. https://doi.org/10.1590/18069657rbcs20180023.

Horst-Heinen, T. Z., Dalmolin, R. S. D., Caten, A. T., Moura-Bueno, J. M., Grunwald, S., Pedron, F. A., Rodrigues, M. F., Rosin, N. A., & Silva-Sangoi, D. V. (2021). Soil depth prediction by digital soil mapping and its impact in pine forestry productivity in South Brazil. *Forest Ecology and Management*, [s. *l*.], 488, e118983. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2021.118983.

Huber, C., Baier, R., Göttlein, A., & Weis, W. (2006). Changes in soil, seepage water and needle chemistry between 1984 and 2004 after liming an N-saturated Norway spruce stand at the Höglwald, Germany. *Forest Ecology and Management*, [*s. I.*], 233(1), 11-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2006.05.058.

Hüttl, R. F., & Schaaf, W. (eds.). (1997). *Magnesium Deficiency in Forest Ecosystems*, Dordrecht: Springer.

IBÁ – Indústria Brasileira De Árvores. (2021). *2021 Relatório Anual IBÁ: IBÁ Annual Report*. São Paulo: IBÁ – FGV IBRE. Retrieved from https://www.iba.org/datafiles/publicacoes/relatorios/relatorioiba2021-compactado.pdf.

Laing, W., Greer, D., Sun, O., Beets, P., Lowe, A., & Payn, T. (2000). Physiological impacts of Mg deficiency in *Pinus radiata*: growth and photosynthesis. *New Phytologist*, 146(1), 47–57. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00616.x.

Larrinaga, A. R., Brotons, L. (2019). Greenness Indices from a Low-Cost UAV Imagery as Tools for Monitoring Post-Fire Forest Recovery. *Drones*, [*s. l.*], 3(6), 1-16. https://doi.org/10.3390/drones3010006.

Lu, L., Tang, Y., Xie, J., & Yuan, Y. (2009). The role of marginal agricultural landbased mulberry planting in biomass energy production. *Renewable Energy*, [s. *l*.], 34, 1789-1794. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2008.12.017.

Marques, R., Motta, A. C. V. (2003). Análise química do solo para fins de fertilidade. In Lima, M. R., Sirtoli, A. E., Serrat, B. M., Wisniewski, C., Almeida, L., Machado, M. A. M., Marques, R., Motta, A. C. V., Krieger, K. I., Oliveira, A.C., Ferreira, F. V. (eds). *Manual de diagnóstico da fertilidade e manejo dos solos agrícolas*. Curitiba: Universidade Federal do Paraná. pp. 81-102.

Martinez, H. E. P., Haag, H. P., & Moraes, M. L. T. D. (1989). Micronutrientes em *Pinus caribaea morelet* I. Efeitos da omissão sobre o desenvolvimento e sintomas de carência. *Anais da Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz*, Piracicaba, 46(1), 225–255. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0071-12761989000100016.

Martins, A. P. L., & Reissmann, C. B. (2007). Material vegetal e as rotinas laboratoriais nos procedimentos químico-analíticos. *Scientia Agraria*, Curitiba, 8(1), 1-17. http://dx.doi.org/10.5380/rsa.v8i1.8336.

Meiwes, K. J. (1995). Application of lime and wood ash to decrease acidification of forest soils. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, [s. I.], 85(1), 143-152.

Melo, R. R. (2015). Radial and longitudinal variation of *Pinus taeda* L. wood basic density in different ages. *Revista de Ciências Agrárias – Amazonian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences*, [s. *I*.], 58(2), 192-197. http://dx.doi.org/10.4322/rca.1839.

Mitchell, A. D., Loganathan, P., Payn, T. W., & Olykan, S. T. (2003). Magnesium and potassium fertilizer effects on foliar magnesium and potassium concentrations and upper mid-crown yellowing in *Pinus radiata*. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science*, [*s. I.*], 33(2), 225-243.

Morales, C. A. S., Albuquerque, J. A., Almeida, J. A., Marangoni, J. M., Stahl, J., & Chaves, D. M. (2010). Qualidade do solo e produtividade de *Pinus taeda* no planalto catarinense. *Ciência Florestal*, Santa Maria, 20(4), 629-640. https://doi.org/10.5902/198050982421.

Moro, L., Gatiboni, L. C., Simonete, M. A., Cassol, P. C., & Chaves, D. M. (2014). Resposta de *Pinus taeda* com diferentes idades à adubação NPK no planalto sul catarinense. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, [*S. I.*], 38(4), 1181-1189. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0100-06832014000400014.

Motta, A. C. V., Barbosa, J. Z., Consalter, R., & Reissmann, C. B. (2014). Nutrição e adubação da cultura de Pinus. In Prado, R. M., Wadt, P. G. S. (Ed.). *Nutrição e adubação de espécies florestais e palmeiras.* Jaboticabal: FUNEP. pp. 383-426.

Munhoz, J. S. B., Alvares, C. A., Deliberali, I., Silva, A. G. P., Carneiro, R. L., & Stape, J. L. (2022). Caracterização da dinâmica de índices de vegetação e estimativa do Índice de Área Foliar (IAF) em povoamentos de Pinus no Brasil via sensoriamento remoto. *IPEF*, Circular técnica, 218, 1-28.

Panshin, A. J., & Zeeuw, C. (1980). *Textbook of wood technology: Structure, Identification, Properties, and Uses of the Commercial Woods of the United States and Canada*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Pereira, M., Bassaco, M. V. M., Motta, A. C., Maeda, S., Prior, S. A., Marques, R., Magri, E., Bognola, I. A., & Gomes, J. B. V. (2022). Influence of industrial forest residue applications on *Pinus taeda*: soil, litter, growth, nutrition, and wood quality characteristics. *New Forests*, 1, 1-24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-021-09902-w.

Pertille, C. T., Nicoletti, M. F. (2022). Volumetric modeling of *Pinus taeda* L. from orbital images. *Biofix Scientific Journal* Curitiba, 7(1), 53-60. https://doi.org/10.5380/biofix.v7i1.82066.

Quadros, L. P., Ducheiko, H. A. S., Maeda, S., Prior, S. A., Araújo, E. M., Gomes, J. B. V., Bognola, I. A., Soares, M. T. S., Magri, E., Frigo, C., Kawasaki, A., & Motta, A. C. V. (2021). Effects of Wood Ash Application on Tree Nutrition and Soil Dynamics in a *Pinus taeda* System. *Forest Science*, 67(5), 618-628.

Rabel, D. O. (2019). *Efeito do resíduo alcalino de papel reciclado na relação solo, liter e planta de Pinus taeda L. no sul do Brasil* (Dissertação de Mestrado) Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, PR. Retrieved from https://acervodigital.ufpr.br/bitstream/handle/1884/61836/R%20-%20D%20-%20DIEGO%20DE%20OLIVEIRA%20RABEL.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

Rabel, D. O., Maeda, S., Araujo, E. M., Gomes, J. B. V., Bognolla, I. A., Prior, S. A., Magri, E., Frigo, C., Brasileiro, B. P., Santos, M. C., Pedreira, G. Q., & Motta, A. C. V. (2021). Recycled alkaline paper waste influenced growth and structure of *Pinus taeda* L. forest. *New Forests*, 52(1), 249-270. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-020-09791-5.

Rabel, D. O., Motta, A. C. V., Barbosa, J. Z., Melo, V. F., & Prior, S. A. (2018). Depth distribution of exchangeable aluminum in acid soils: A study from subtropical Brazil. *Acta Scientiarum Agronomy*, Maringá, 40, e39320 (1-10). https://doi.org/10.4025/actasciagron.v40i1.39320.

Raynal, D. J., Joslin, J. D., Thornton, F. C., Schaedle, M., & Henderson, G. S. (1990). Sensitivity of tree seedlings to aluminum: III. Red spruce and loblolly pine. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 19(2), 180-187.

Reissmann, C. B. (1981). *Nährelementversorgung und Wuchsleistung von Kiefernbeständen In Südbrasilien* (Tese de Doutorado) - Freiburg (Germany): Albert-Ludwigs Universität.

Reissmann, C. B.; & Wisniewski, C. (2015). Aspectos Nutricionais De Plantios De Pinus. In Gonçalves, J. L. M.; Benedetti, V. (eds). *Nutrição e fertilização florestal*, Piracicaba: IPEF. pp. 135–66.

Richter, D. D.; Markewitz, D., Wells, C. G., Allen, H. L., April, R., Heine, P. R., & Urrego, B. (1994). Soil Chemical Change during Three Decades in an Old-Field Loblolly Pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) Ecosystem. *Ecology*, [*s. l.*], 75(5), 1463–1473. https://doi.org/10.2307/1937469.

Rodrigues-Corrêa, K. C., Lima, J. C., & Fett-Neto, A. G. (2012). Pine oleoresin: tapping green chemicals, biofuels, food protection, and carbon sequestration from multipurpose trees. *Food and Energy Security*, [*s. l.*], 1(2), 81-93. https://doi.org/10.1002/fes3.13.

Rodriguez, D. R. O. (2018). *Wood properties of 17-year-old Pinus taeda L. trees under composted Pulp-mill sludge fertilization by tree-ring analysis* (Dissertation of Master's degree). University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, SP. Retrieved from https://teses.usp.br/teses/disponiveis/11/11150/tde-21082018-175821/publico/Daigard Ricardo Ortega Rodriguez versao revisada.pdf

Rodriguez, D. R. O., & Tomazello-Filho, M. (2019). Clues to wood quality and production from analyzing ring width and density variabilities of fertilized *Pinus taeda* trees. *New Forests*, [*s. l.*], 50(1), 821-843. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-018-09702-9.

Sampietro, J. A., Vargas, D. A., Souza, F. L., Nicoletti, M. F., Bonazza, M., & Topanotti, L. R. (2022). Comparison of Forwarder Productivity and Optimal Road Density in Thinning and Clearcutting of Pine Plantation in Southern Brazil. *Croat. J. for. eng.*, *[s. l.]*, 43, 65-77. https://doi.org/10.5552/crojfe.2022.1147

Santos Filho, A., & Rocha, H. O. (1987). Principais características dos solos que influem no crescimento de *Pinus taeda*, no segundo planalto paranaense. *Revista do Setor de Ciências Agrárias, [s. l.]*, 9, 107-111.

Sass, A. L., Bassaco, M. V. M., Motta, A. C. V., Maeda, S., Barbosa, J. Z., Bognola, I. A., Bosco, J. V. G., Goularte, G. D., & Prior, S. A. (2020). Cellulosic industrial waste to enhance *Pinus taeda* nutrition and growth: a study in subtropical Brazil. *Scientia Forestalis*, [s. *I*.], 48(126), e3165 (1-16). https://doi.org/10.18671/scifor.v48n126.13

SBCS/NEPAR – Sociedade Brasileira De Ciência Do Solo/Núcleo Estadual Paraná Da Sociedade Brasileira De Ciência Do Solo. (2019). *Manual de adubação e calagem para o Estado do Paraná*. Curitiba: NEPAR-SBCS.

Shane, M, W., & Lambers, H. (2005). Cluster roots: A curiosity in context. *Plant and Soil*, [*s. l.*], 274, 101-125. https://doi.org/10.007/s11104-004-2725-7.

Sixel, R. M. M., Arthur Junior, J. C., Gonçalves, J. L. M., Alvares, C. A., Andrade, G. R. P., Azevedo, A. C., Stahl, J., & Moreira, A. M. (2015). Sustainability of wood productivity of *Pinus taeda* based on nutrient export and stocks in the biomass and in the soil. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, [s. *l*.], 39(1), 1416-1427. https://doi.org/10.1590/01000683rbcs20140297.

Smethurst, P. J. (2010). Forest fertilization: Trends in knowledge and practice compared to agriculture. *Plant Soil*, [*s. l.*], 335(1), 83-100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0316-3.

Soares, C. P. B., Neto, F. P., & Souza, A. L. (2011). *Dendrometria e Inventário Florestal*. Lavras: UFV.

Sousa, D. M. G., & Lobato, E. (2004) *Cerrado: Correção de solo e adubação*. Brasília: Embrapa Informação Tecnológica. Stone, E. L. (1953). Magnesium deficiency of some Northeastern Pines. *Soil Science American Proceedings*, [s. *I*.], 17, 297-300. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1953.03615995001700030029x.

Sun, O. J., & Payn, T. W. (1999). Magnesium nutrition and photosynthesis in *Pinus radiata*: clonal variation and influence of potassium. *Tree physiology*, [s. l.], 19(8), 535-540. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/19.8.535.

Sypert, R. H. (2006). *Diagnosis of Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda L.) Nutrient Deficiencies by Foliar Methods* (Dissertação de Mestrado). Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg. Retrieved from

https://vtechworks.lib.vt.edu/bitstream/handle/10919/34849/Robert_Sypert_Thesis.pd f?sequence=1&isAllowed=y.

Tasissa, G., & Burkhart, H. E. (1997). Modeling thinning effects on ring width distribution in loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*). *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, [s. *l*.], 27(8), 1291–1301. https://doi.org/10.1139/x97-092.

Topanotti, L. R., Vaz, D. R., Carvalho, S. P. C., Rios, P. D., Tamazello-Filho, M., Dobner Jr, M., & Nicoletti, M. F. (2021). Growth and wood density of *Pinus taeda* L. as affected by shelterwood harvest in a two-aged stand in Southern Brazil. *European Journal of Forest Research*, [s. *I.*], 140(1), 869-881. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-021-01372-1.

Turner, J., Lambert, M. J., & Edwards, D. (1979). *A guide to identifying nutritional & pathlogic disorders of Pinus radiata.* Sydney: Forestry Commission of N.S.W.. Retrieved from https://www.dpi.nsw.goau/__data/assets/pdf_file/0010/389971/A-Guide-to-Identifying-Nutritional-and-Pathologic-Disorders-of-Pinus-Radiata.pdf.

Vanguelova, E. I., Hirano, Y., Eldhuset, T. D., Sas-Paszt, L., Bakker, M. R., Püttsepp, Ü., Brunner, I., Lõhmus, K., & Godbold, D. (2007). Tree fine root Ca/AI molar ratio - Indicator of AI and acidity stress. *Plant Biosystems - An International Journal Dealing with All Aspects of Plant Biology*, [s. *I*.], 141(3), 460-480. https://doi.org/10.1080/11263500701626192.

Vidaurre, G. B., Silva, J. G. M., Moulin, J. C., & Carneiro, A. C. O. (org.). (2020). *Qualidade da madeira de eucalipto proveniente de plantações no Brasil*. Vitória: EDUFES.

Villacorta, A. M. G., Martin, T. A., Jokela, E. J., Cropper, W. P., & Gezan, S. A. (2015). Variation in biomass distribution and nutrient content in loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) clones having contrasting crown architecture and growth efficiency. *Forest Ecology and Management*, [s. *I*.], 342(1), 84–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2015.01.012.

Vogel, H. L. M., Schumacher, M. V., & Neves, J. C. L. (2018). Nutritional evaluation and DRIS indices in *Pinus taeda* L. stand subject to the NPK fertilization. *Ecologia e Nutrição Florestal*, [*s. l.*], 6(3), 59-70. http://dx.doi.org/10.5902/2316980X34942.

Watzlawick, L. F., Caldeira, M. V. W., Godinho, T. O., Balbinot, R., & Trautenmüller, J. W. (2013). Aboveground stock of biomass and organic carbon in stands of *Pinus taeda* L. **Cerne**, Lavras, 19(3), 509-515. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-

77602013000300019

Will, G. M. (1978). Nutrient deficiencies in *Pinus radiata* in New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science*, [s. *l*.], 8(1), 4-14. Retrieved from https://www.scionresearch.com/__data/assets/pdf_file/0003/37182/NZJFS811978WI LL4_14.pdf.

Will, G. M. (1985). *Nutrient deficiencies and fertilizer use in New Zealand exotic forests*. Rotorua: Forestry Research Institute.

Wit, H. A., Eldhuset, T. D., & Mulder, J. (2010). Dissolved AI reduces Mg uptake in Norway spruce forest: results from a long-term field manipulation experiment in Norway. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 259(10), 2072-2082.

Xie, K., Cakmak, I., Wang, S., Zhang, F., & Guo, S. (2021). Synergistic and antagonistic interactions between potassium and magnesium in higher plants. *The Crop Journal*, [s. l.], 9(2), 249-256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2020.10.005.

Zhao, D., Kane, M., Teskey, R., Markewitz, D., Greene, D., & Borders, B. (2014). Impact of management on nutrients, carbon, and energy in aboveground biomass components of mid-rotation loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) plantations. *Annals of Forest Science*, [*s. I.*], 71(1), 843-851. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-014-0384-2.

Zhu, Q., Vries, W., Liu, X., Zeng, M., Hao, T., Du, E., Zhang, F., & Shen, J. (2016). The contribution of atmospheric deposition and forest harvesting to forest soil acidification in China since 1980. *Atmospheric Environment*, [*s. l.*], 146, 215-222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosen2016.04.023.

Zucon, A., Dominschek, R., & Motta, A. C. V. (2020). Can fertilization and liming affect the amount of litter and roots on *Pinus taeda* forest floor?. *Scientia Forestalis*, [*s. l.*], 48(128), e3193. https://doi.org/10.18671/scifor.v48n128.21.

APPENDIX 1 – SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL



FIGURE S1 – EVALUATION IMAGES OF THE STUDY AREA OF A 14-YEAR-OLD *Pinus taeda* CULTIVATION IN BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL.

SOURCE: The author (2023).

LEGEND: Evaluation of the circumference at breast height (CBH) of the trees (a), height measurement (total and commercial) (b), cross sections collected from the tree trunk (c), needles collected and separated (d), litter sampled (e), fine roots of *Pinus taeda* separated from the litter (f), soil trading (g), observation of plot location in the landscape (h), soil classification - morphological description (i).

FIGURE S2 – CORRELATION GRAPH BETWEEN *Pinus taeda* VALUES OF DIAMETER AT BREAST HEIGHT (DBH) WITHIN PLOTS (10 TREES PLOT⁻¹) AND THE FELLED TREE (r = 97%).



FIGURE S3 – DENSITY PROFILE OF GROWTH RINGS OF 14 YEAR-OLD *Pinus taeda* TREES IN DIFFERENT VARI CLASSES (C1 - VERY LOW, C2 – LOW, C3 - MEDIUM AND C4 - HIGH) EVALUATED AT BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL.



SOURCE: The author (2023).

| TABLE S1 IN DIFFER | – APPAF ENT VAF | ENT WOC | DD DENSI SS (VERY | TY OF GF LOW, LOV | ROWTH RI N, MEDIUI | NGS (EAF M, AND HI | RLY + MA ^T GH) IN BI | rure, ea Turuna, | RLY AND PARAN |) MATUR Å, BRAZIL | E) OF <i>Pir</i> | ius taeda | TREES A | CROSS 1 | t YEARS |
|------------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|
| Classes | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | Mean |
| | | | | | | | | g cm ⁻³ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Growth rii | ng density | (early wo | od + late | (poow | | | | | |
| Very Low | 0.43 a | 0.39 a | 0.42 a | 0.46 a | 0.48 a | 0.59 a | 0.56 a | 0.65 a | 0.64 a | 0.65 a | 0.73 a | 0.69 a | 0.78 a | 0.60 a | 0.58 a |
| Low | 0.33 a | 0.28 a | 0.31 a | 0.34 a | 0.39 a | 0.45 a | 0.52 a | 0.57 a | 0.53 a | 0.60 a | 0.59 a | 0.69 a | 0.69 a | 0.66 a | 0.50 a |
| Medium | 0.39 a | 0.36 a | 0.39 a | 0.43 a | 0.49 a | 0.57 a | 0.56 a | 0.61 a | 0.62 a | 0.59 a | 0.66 a | 0.63 a | 0.78 a | 0.68 a | 0.55 a |
| High | 0.41 a | 0.37 a | 0.40 a | 0.41 a | 0.43 a | 0.54 a | 0.55 a | 0.63 a | 0.64 a | 0.58 a | 0.67 a | 0.66 a | 0.79 a | 0.75 a | 0.56 a |
| | | | | | | | Early v | vood dens | ity | | | | | | |
| Very Low | 0.37 a | 0.33 a | 0.33 a | 0.34 a | 0.37 a | 0.38 a | 0.38 a | 0.41 a | 0.41 a | 0.41 a | 0.49 a | 0.49 a | 0.50 a | 0.38 a | 0.40 a |
| Low | 0.30 a | 0.21 b | 0.21 a | 0.22 a | 0.24 b | 0.27 a | 0.29 a | 0.33 a | 0.32 a | 0.35 a | 0.34 a | 0.38 a | 0.43 a | 0.33 a | 0.31 a |
| Medium | 0.35 a | 0.31 ab | 0.31 a | 0.35 a | 0.35 ab | 0.39 a | 0.38 a | 0.37 a | 0.38 a | 0.38 a | 0.42 a | 0.38 a | 0.47 a | 0.38 a | 0.38 a |
| High | 0.35 a | 0.31 ab | 0.32 a | 0.32 a | 0.33 ab | 0.38 a | 0.37 a | 0.40 a | 0.38 a | 0.35 a | 0.42 a | 0.40 a | 0.50 a | 0.41 a | 0.38 a |
| | | | | | | | Late w | ood densi | ity | | | | | | |
| Very Low | 0.56 a | 0.61 a | 0.65 a | 0.68 a | 0.73 a | 0.73 a | 0.75 a | 0.81 a | 0.77 a | 0.81 a | 0.86 a | 0.79 a | 0.86 a | 0.76 a | 0.74 a |
| Low | 0.45 a | 0.47 b | 0.55 a | 0.58 a | 0.62 a | 0.66 a | 0.70 a | 0.76 a | 0.71 a | 0.71 a | 0.72 a | 0.79 a | 0.80 a | 0.83 a | 0.68 a |
| Medium | 0.56 a | 0.55 ab | 0.61 a | 0.62 a | 0.70 a | 0.71 a | 0.74 a | 0.75 a | 0.77 a | 0.78 a | 0.82 a | 0.82 a | 0.91 a | 0.83 a | 0.74 a |
| High | 0.52 a | 0.55 ab | 0.55 a | 0.60 a | 0.62 a | 0.71 a | 0.79 a | 0.83 a | 0.83 a | 0.77 a | 0.87 a | 0.81 a | 0.98 a | 0.93 a | 0.75 a |
| Means foll Kruskal-W SOURCE: | owed by c allis with ¹ The auth | different le Vemenyi m or (2023). | tters in the nean test (| : columns p ≤ 0.05). | within eacl | h subdivisi | ion (early ' | wood + lai | te wood, | early and | late woo | d) differ st | atistically | from each | other by |

| N N | an | 1 | | 5 a | ба | 7 a | 8 a | | 8 a | 8 a | 3а | 5 a | | 7 a | 8 a | 4 a | 2 a | er by |
|-----------------------|---------|----|------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|------------|----------|--------|---------|---------|----------|----------|--------|--------|----------|--|
| YEAR | Me | | | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | ch oth |
| OSS 14 | 2021 | | | 0.26 a | 0.27 a | 0.39 a | 0.43 a | | 0.10 a | 0.08 a | 0.13 a | 0.14 a | | 0.16 a | 0.19 a | 0.26 a | 0.29 a | from ea |
| ES ACR | 2020 | | | 0.23 a | 0.27 a | 0.29 a | 0.31 a | | 0.05 a | 0.07 a | 0.08 a | 0.12 a | | 0.18 a | 0.20 a | 0.20 a | 0.19 a | atistically |
| aeda TRE | 2019 | | | 0.23 a | 0.36 a | 0.42 a | 0.55 a | | 0.08 a | 0.06 a | 0.17 a | 0.19 a | | 0.15 a | 0.30 a | 0.25 a | 0.36 a |) differ st |
| - Pinus te | 2018 | | | 0.32 a | 0.28 a | 0.46 a | 0.53 a | | 0.12 a | 0.09 a | 0.19 a | 0.24 a | | 0.20 a | 0.20 a | 0.28 a | 0.29 a | ate wood |
| (OOD) OF RAZIL. | 2017 | | | 0.36 a | 0.29 a | 0.52 a | 0.48 a | | 0.14 ab | 0.08 b | 0.24 a | 0.21 ab | | 0.21 a | 0.21 a | 0.27 a | 0.26 a | early and I |
| D LATE V ARANÁ, B | 2016 | (| d width | 0.35 a | 0.23 a | 0.52 a | 0.32 a | dth | 0.12 a | 0.10 a | 0.20 a | 0.12 a | lth | 0.22 ab | 0.13 b | 0.32 a | 0.20 ab | te wood, e |
| ARLY ANI IRUNA, P. | 2015 | CM | d late woo | 0.46 a | 0.34 a | 0.49 a | 0.37 a | v wood wie | 0.19 a | 0.14 a | 0.18 a | 0.17 a | wood wic | 0.27 a (| 0.20 a | 0.32 a | 0.20 a (| wood + la |
| WOOD, E | 2014 | | Early and | 0.42 a | 0.39 a | 0.49 a | 0.39 a | Earl | 0.22 a | 0.20 a | 0.24 a | 0.21 a | Late | 0.20 a | 0.28 a | 0.25 a | 0.18 a | ion (early |
| + LATE | 2013 | | | 0.60 a | 0.62 a | 0.67 a | 0.70 a | | 0.26 a | 0.34 a | 0.29 a | 0.37 a | | 0.34 a | 0.29 a | 0.38 a | 0.33 a | h subdivis |
| -Y WOOD MEDIUM, | 2012 | | | 0.97 a | 0.80 a | 0.92 a | 0.99 a | | 0.67 a | 0.47 b | 0.55 ab | 0.65 ab | | 0.30 a | 0.33 a | 0.37 a | 0.34 a | within eac |
| GS (EARI W, LOW, I | 2011 | | | 1.25 a | 1.11 a | 1.26 a | 1.49 a | | 0.81 a | 0.77 a | 0.86 a | 1.02 a | | 0.44 a | 0.34 a | 0.40 a | 0.47 a | columns ' o ≤ 0.05). |
| WTH RIN | 2010 | | | 1.44 a | 1.46 a | 1.80 a | 1.79 a | | 1.04 a | 1.02 a | 1.29 a | 1.18 a | | 0.40 a | 0.45 a | 0.50 a | 0.61 a | ters in the ean test (p |
| OF GRO | 2009 | | | 1.89 a | 2.03 a | 2.11 a | 2.00 a | | 1.48 a | 1.53 a | 1.53 a | 1.53 a | | 0.41 a | 0.49 a | 0.51 a | 0.47 a | fferent lett emenyi m r (2023). |
| - WIDTH T VARI CL | 2008 | | | 1.82 a | 2.18 a | 1.89 a | 1.92 a | | 1.47 a | 1.79 a | 1.51 a | 1.61 a | | 0.35 a | 0.39 a | 0.38 a | 0.32 a | wed by di llis with N |
| TABLE S2 DIFFEREN | Classes | | | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Means follo Kruskal-Wa SOURCE: 7 |

FIGURE S4 – SYMPTOMS OF CHLOROSIS OBSERVED IN NEEDLES OF A 14 YEAR-OLD *Pinus taeda* TREE PRESENT IN THE VERY LOW VARI CLASS EVALUATED IN BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL.



SOURCE: The author (2023).

LEGEND: Symptoms can be observed in branches with one and two year-old needles (a) and on branches of the felled tree (b); also note the thinning of the tree crown (c).

TABLE S3 – CONCENTRATIONS OF NUTRIENTS AND ALUMINUM IN WOOD TISSUE OF A 14 YEAR-OLD *Pinus taeda* CULTIVATION IN DIFFERENT VARI CLASSES (VERY LOW, LOW, MEDIUM, AND HIGH) IN BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | n test (p ≤ |
|---------------|---------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|------------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|------------------|---------|---------|----------|-------------------|---------|---------|----------------|
| | Zn | | 12.73 a | 11.30 a | 9.29 ab | 3.67 b | 8.83 a | 7.28 ab | 6.22 ab | 2.80 b | 8.25 a | 8.46 a | 5.12 ab | 1.87 b | 8.45 a | 6.06 ab | 6.19 ab | 3.98 b | 7.00 a | 6.82 a | 6.06 a | 4.21 a | 18.69 a | 10.40 a | 7.55 a | 5.32 a | smenvi mea |
| ; | Mn | | 45.65 a | 50.41 a | 39.59 a | 37.70 a | 49.25 a | 44.04 a | 39.96 a | 38.41 a | 47.78 a | 47.54 a | 35.97 a | 35.10 a | 41.76 a | 42.46 a | 35.34 a | 41.71 a | 42.74 a | 43.15 a | 40.39 a | 39.08 a | 54.02 a | 49.84 a | 46.02 a | 45.22 a | Ilis with Ne |
| 1 | Fe | | 6.63 b | 52.73 a | 23.33 ab | 2.77 b | 1.97 a | 8.15 a | 6.75 a | 3.69 а | 4.44 a | 7.02 a | 1.91 a | 0.41 a | 6.26 a | 25.23 a | 7.69 a | 2.92 a | 7.10 ab | 32.71 a | 1.69 b | 7.80 ab | 8.81 a | 51.92 а | 3.52 a | 6.21 a | Kruskal-Wa |
| , | Cu | mg kg ⁻¹ | 0.75 a | 1.97 a | 1.05 a | 0.57 a | 0.94 a | 1.31 a | 1.06 a | 0.07 a | 1.12 ab | 1.67 a | 0.65 ab | 0.08 b | 0.83 a | 1.71 a | 1.35 a | 0.19 a | 0.92 ab | 2.21 a | 1.05 ab | 0.06 b | 1.36 ab | 2.31 a | 1.27 ab | 0.38 b | n other by h |
| 1 | Ba | | 1.20 ab | 0.87 b | 2.18 ab | 2.53 a | 1.29 ab | 0.66 b | 1.26 ab | 2.07 a | 0.99 ab | 0.70 b | 0.96 ab | 1.93 a | 1.03 ab | 0.65 b | 0.77 ab | 1.82 a | 1.00 a | 0.68 a | 0.75 a | 2.73 a | 0.73 a | 0.64 a | 1.07 a | 1.28 a | from each |
| | В | | 4.85 a | 1.37 ab | 1.12 ab | 0.74 b | 3.53 a | 1.09 ab | 0.75 b | 0.65 b | 1.36 a | 1.14 ab | 0.67 b | 0.66 b | 2.02 a | 0.94 ab | 0.66 b | 0.61 b | 1.35 a | 0.97 ab | 0.66 b | 0.80 ab | 1.51 a | 1.03 ab | 0.77 b | 0.96 ab | tatistically |
| | AI | | 47.84 a | 30.44 ab | 34.07 ab | 16.09 b | 31.36 a | 19.76 a | 14.18 a | 13.55 a | 25.56 a | 28.52 a | 8.68 a | 8.99 a | 19.28 a | 10.73 a | 8.84 a | 9.67 a | 12.09 a | 13.39 a | 7.02 a | 20.03 a | 15.10 a | 16.62 a | 7.27 a | 13.71 a | ision differ s |
| | X | | 1.25 a | 1.19 a | 1.19 a | 0.71 a | 0.88 a | 0.87 a | 0.73 a | 0.65 a | 0.89 a | 0.92 a | 0.64 a | 0.57 a | 0.93 a | 0.76 a | 0.70 a | 0.56 a | 0.80 a | 0.80 a | 0.69 a | 0.93 a | 0.91 a | 1.45 a | 0.78 a | 0.85 a | art subdiv |
| | Ч | kg ⁻¹ | 0.21 a | 0.17 a | 0.16 a | 0.11 a | 0.13 a | 0.10 a | 0.08 a | 0.08 a | 0.13 a | 0.11 a | 0.07 a | 0.06 a | 0.12 a | 0.09 a | 0.06 a | 0.07 a | 0.09 a | 0.09 a | 0.07 a | 0.08 a | 0.11 a | 0.11 a | 0.09 a | 0.09 a | n each pa |
| | Mg | ق ا | 0.09 a | 0.11 a | 0.11 a | 0.10 a | 0.07 a | 0.07 a | 0.08 a | 0.10 a | 0.07 a | 0.09 a | 0.07 a | 0.09 a | 0.07 a | 0.07 a | 0.07 a | 0.10 a | 0.08 a | 0.08 a | 0.09 a | 0.11 a | 0.10 a | 0.11 a | 0.11 a | 0.13 a | ins withii |
| | Ca | | 0.37 a | 0.43 a | 0.52 a | 0.37 a | 0.33 a | 0.33 a | 0.36 a | 0.33 a | 0.34 ab | 0.40 a | 0.33 ab | 0.27 b | 0.35 a | 0.32 a | 0.31 a | 0.32 a | 0.31 a | 0.35 a | 0.34 a | 0.31 a | 0.35 a | 0.39 a | 0.37 a | 0.33 a | the colum |
| L(vv, L(vv, | Part | | | | Dase | | | | | | | 25% | corrier clar height | 2 | | 50% | beight | 6 | | /5% comorcial | beight | 2 | | 100% comorcial | beight | 0 | ent letters in |
| | Classes | | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | wed by differ |
| | 1 | | | | | Į | | | | Į | r | | | | | | | Į | r | | | | | | | | s follo |

Means followed by different letters in the columns within each part subdivision differ statist 0.05). 0.05). SOURCE: The author (2023). TABLE S4 – CONCENTRATIONS OF NUTRIENTS AND ALUMINUM IN BARK OF A 14 YEAR-OLD *Pinus taeda* CULTIVATION IN DIFFERENT VARI CLASSES VLUE (VERY LOW, LOW, MEDIUM, AND HIGH) IN BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL

| CLASSES | VLUE (VERY | LUW, LUW, | <u>Medium, A</u> | NU HIGH) IN | I BI I UKUNA | <u>, PAKANA,</u> | BRAZIL | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| | Classes | Part | Р | К | AI | В | Ba | Cu | Fe | Mn | Zn | |
| | | | g k | .g ⁻¹ | | | | - mg kg ⁻¹ | | | | |
| | Very Low | | 0.14 a | 0.74 a | 546 a | 1.61 a | 1.69 ab | 1.61 a | 48.38 a | 25.05 a | 6.39 a | |
| | Low | | 0.11 a | 0.72 a | 425 a | 1.80 a | 1.14 b | 2.77 a | 44.45 a | 25.08 a | 7.06 a | |
| | Medium | Dase | 0.13 a | 0.93 a | 337 a | 1.94 a | 1.92 ab | 2.62 a | 34.63 a | 30.01 a | 7.04 a | |
| | High | | 0.13 a | 0.72 a | 411 a | 2.19 a | 3.10 a | 1.99 a | 35.55 a | 28.72 a | 5.23 a | |
| | Very Low | | 0.20 a | 0.97 a | 688 a | 2.01 a | 2.33 ab | 2.09 a | 51.58 a | 37.25 a | 12.70 a | |
| | Low | | 0.16 a | 1.15 a | 516 a | 2.47 a | 1.68 b | 2.75 a | 28.52 a | 29.84 a | 13.57 a | |
| | Medium | | 0.17 a | 1.10 a | 443 a | 2.40 a | 2.85 ab | 2.67 a | 24.01 a | 33.19 a | 13.03 a | |
| | High | | 0.15 a | 0.92 a | 526 a | 2.33 a | 4.19 a | 2.65 a | 23.67 a | 30.92 a | 7.26 a | |
| | Very Low | i i | 0.19 a | 1.06 a | 718 a | 1.86 a | 2.34 ab | 2.27 a | 104 a | 36.31 a | 13.81 a | |
| | Low | 25% comoroiol | 0.14 a | 0.90 a | 504 a | 1.98 a | 1.24 b | 2.57 a | 53.45 a | 39.89 a | 12.50 a | |
| | Medium | connercial height | 0.18 a | 1.25 a | 467 a | 2.61 a | 2.18 ab | 2.93 a | 51.14 a | 37.90 a | 17.22 a | |
| | High | | 0.24 a | 1.55 a | 548 a | 2.70 a | 4.58 a | 2.72 a | 33.20 a | 49.88 a | 13.91 a | |
| | Very Low | | 0.38 a | 2.17 a | 628 a | 2.67 a | 2.50 a | 2.58 a | 41.10 a | 65.28 a | 35.76 a | |
| | Low | 50% | 0.30 a | 2.13 a | 497 a | 2.67 a | 1.50 a | 2.96 a | 46.18 a | 57.62 a | 31.58 a | |
| | Medium | connercial height | 0.25 a | 1.77 a | 478 a | 3.03 a | 2.25 a | 2.91 a | 40.25 a | 51.22 a | 31.28 a | |
| | High | | 0.33 a | 1.94 a | 516 a | 2.95 a | 3.73 a | 2.18 a | 34.12 a | 54.98 a | 89.26 a | |
| | Very Low | | 0.50 a | 2.93 a | 662 a | 3.49 a | 2.19 a | 3.01 a | 27.18 b | 59.87 a | 63.48 a | |
| | Low | 75% comoroio! | 0.43 a | 3.05 a | 477 a | 3.38 a | 1.48 a | 3.01 a | 46.09 ab | 67.67 a | 53.46 a | |
| | Medium | beight | 0.42 a | 2.72 a | 497 a | 3.68 a | 2.11 a | 3.05 a | 71.46 a | 71.33 a | 58.78 a | |
| | High | | 0.50 a | 3.04 a | 529 a | 3.89 a | 3.21 a | 2.96 a | 58.79 ab | 65.45 a | 38.05 a | |
| | Very Low | | 0.70 a | 3.97 а | 590 a | 4.69 a | 1.68 a | 5.74 a | 83.66 a | 87.22 a | 76.45 a | |
| | Low | 100% comercial | 0.70 a | 4.45 a | 438 a | 4.83 a | 1.30 a | 3.48 a | 46.51 a | 83.22 a | 77.98 a | |
| | Medium | heidht | 0.70 a | 4.09 a | 432 a | 5.35 a | 1.44 a | 3.63 a | 63.00 a | 92.57 a | 79.12 a | |
| | High | 0 | 0.75 a | 3.76 a | 492 a | 5.50 a | 2.96 a | 3.61 a | 66.07 a | 91.90 a | 50.29 a | |
| Means follc | owed by differe | ent letters in t | he columns | within each I | oart subdivisi | on differ sta | atistically fror | n each othe | r by Kruskal- | Wallis with N | Vemenyi mea | n test (p |
| ≤ 0.05). SOURCE: ` | The author (20 | 123). | | | | | | | | | | |

65

| Z | | | | | | | Ē | Y | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|------------------|-------------|----------|---------|--------|---------------------|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|---|
| TIVATION | Ca/AI | | 0.77 a | 1.33 a | 1.50 a | 1.66 a | | EKENI VA | Zn | | | 2.63 a | 0.01 a | .99 a | 1.84 a | | 3.73 a | 4.47 a | 3.45 a | 5.16 а | | .84 a | .66 a | .51 a | .55 a | ner by |
| eda CUL | K/Mg | | 7.68 a | 8.72 a | 5.94 a | 5.38 a | | | ~ | | | 30 a 11 | l6 a 1(| 76 a 9 | 23 a 1 ⁻ | | .4 a 1; | 35 a 14 | 50 a 1(| 96 a 14 | | 72 a 0 | 32 a 0 | 30 a 0 | 30 a 0 | n each oth |
| Pinus ta | Zn | | 15.86 a | 17.61 a | 16.44 a | 14.89 a | (p ≤ 0.05 | IVATION | | | | a 6.6 | a 2.1 | a 3.7 | a 3.2 | | a 14 | a 9.8 | a 11. | a 10. | | a 0.7 | a 0.3 | a 0.3 | a 0.3 | ically fror |
| AR-OLD | ^ | | 0.81 a | 0.65 a | 0.59 a | 0.71 a | nean test | | Ni | | | 1.82 | 1.42 | 1.86 | 1.77 | | 3.47 | 2.05 | 4.26 | 1.92 | | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.08 | fer statist |
| A 14 YE | Ni | | 1.01 a | 0.92 a | 0.76 a | 0.94 a | lemenyi n | unus tae | Mn | | | 96.65 a | 92.06 a | 121 a | 158 a | | 79.46 a | 75.69 a | 120 a | 133 a | | 5.44 a | 4.47 a | 4.53 a | 5.87 a | ontent) dif |
| ROOTS OF VÁ, BRAZIL | Mn | | 52.61 a | 65.66 a | 85.65 a | 95.52 a | Vallis with N | EAK-ULD / | Fe | kg ⁻¹ | n > 2 mm | 2266 a | 819 a | 1614 a | 1393 a | n < 2 mm | 5169 а | 3952 a | 4564 a | 4080 a | | 253 a | 128 a | 120 a | 116 a | elements co |
| IN FINE F | Fe | kg ⁻¹ | 362 a | 281 a | 215 a | 379 a | y Kruskal-V | JF A 14 Y ZIL. | Cu | mg | the fractio | 5.76 a | 4.03 a | 4.23 a | 3.90 a | the fractio | 7.60 a | 6.39 a | 7.30 a | 7.36 a | ⟨g ha⁻¹) | 0.44 a | 0.28 a | 0.23 a | 0.24 a | 2 mm and |
| AND Ca/AI N BITURUI | Cu | mg | 2.87 a | 1.61 a | 4.81 a | 5.85 a | ach other by | ANÁ, BRA | cr | | elements in | 2.24 a | 2.09 a | 2.35 a | 2.16 a | elements in | 6.72 a | 1.25 a | 10.76 a | 1.38 a | s content (ł | 0.27 a | 0.09 a | 0.25 a | 0.07 a | (> 2 mm, < |
| F K/Mg A D HIGH) II | Cr | | 0.49 a | 0.22 a | 0.19 a | 0.22 a | ly from ea | IEN IS IN INA, PAR | Ba | | on of the e | 8.94 a | 5.81 a | 7.90 a | 8.75 a | on of the e | 4.47 a | 1.84 a | 5.00 a | 5.71 a | Element | 0.77 a | 0.47 a | 0.44 a | 0.52 a | odivision (|
| ATIOS O NUM, ANI | Ba | | 5.45 a | 4.93 a | 5.91 a | 6.45 a | statistical | UF ELEN N BITURL | В | | oncentratio | 32 a | 99 a | 28 a | 32 a | oncentratio | 29 a 1 | 80 a 1 | 67 a 1 | 64 a 1 | | 12 a | 07 a | 06 a | 06 a | n each sul |
| S AND R DW, MED | В | | 0.95 a | 1.10 a | 1.08 a | 1.22 a | nns differ | NIENIS HIGH) II | | | ö | 7a 1. | 3 a 0. | 7a 1. | 5 a 1. | ö | 3 a 2. | 3 a 1. | ta 1. | la 1. | | a 0. | a 0. | a 0. | a 0. | nns withir 05). |
| LOW, LO | AI | | 775 a | 723 a | 831 a | 827 a | the colur | UM, ANE | A | | | 3397 | 1738 | 2357 | 226 | | 668(| 5883 | 6462 | 641 | | 1 339 | 1 202 | 172 I | i 183 | the colur st (p ≤ 0. |
| S (VERY | X | | .85 a | .29 a | .01 a | .21 a | letters in .). | W, MEDI | ¥ | (g ⁻¹ | | 0.92 a | 1.12 a | 0.87 a | 0.80 a | | 0.97 a | 1.06 a | 0.85 a | 0.88 a | | 59.93 e | 58.83 8 | 33.10 a | 35.39 e | letters in i mean te t). |
| EMENT C(| ď | g kg ⁻¹ | 0.48 a 0 | 0.51 a 1 | 0.48 a 1 | 0.47 a 1 | uthor (2023 | Y LOW, LO | ď | g k | | 0.51 a | 0.51 a | 0.48 a | 0.50 a | | 0.63 a | 0.63 a | 0.68 a | 0.67 a | | 36.13 a | 30.36 ab | 22.30 b | 24.65 ab | by different ith Nemeny uthor (2023 |
| TABLE S5 – EL DIFFERENT VAF | Classes | | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Means followed t SOURCE: The ai | CLASSES (VER) | Classes | | | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Means followed t Kruskal-Wallis wi SOURCE: The au |

| TABLE S7 – (YEAR-OLD <i>P</i> . | CHEMICAL inus taeda | L FERTILI' CULTIVA | TY AND TION IN | LIME RE DIFFER | ENT VA | ENDATIO | NS (LR) SES (VEI | FOR TH RY LOW | IREE SOI /, LOW, N | L DEPTH AEDIUM, / | S (0 – 0.20, AND HIGH) | 0.20 – 0.40 IN BITURU |), AND 0.4(NA, PARA | 0 – 0.60 m NÁ, BRAZ |) OF A 14 2IL |
|---|--|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Classes | pH CaCl ₂ | ပ | Ca²+ | Mg ²⁺ | ¥ | H + AI | Al ³⁺ | Na⁺ | SB ⁽¹⁾ | CEC eff ⁽²⁾ | CEC pH 7.0 ⁽³⁾ | 4 | m ⁽⁴⁾ | V ⁽⁵⁾ | LR ⁽⁶⁾ |
| | | g dm ⁻³ | | | | | - cmolc dr | m ⁻³ | | | | mg dm ⁻³ | % | | t ha ⁻¹ |
| | | | | | | | | 0 - 0.20 | ш | | | | | | |
| Very Low | 3.17 a | 69.68 a | 0.22 a | 0.10 a | 0.12 a | 28.08 a | 4.00 a | 0.03 a | 0.47 a | 4.47 a | 28.55 a | 1.92 a | 90 a | 1.63 a | 1.68 ab |
| Low | 3.18 a | 71.93 a | 0.11 b | 0.10 a | 0.12 a | 29.23 a | 4.01 a | 0.04 a | 0.37 a | 4.38 a | 29.60 a | 1.93 a | 92 a | 1.26 a | 1.78 a |
| Medium | 3.25 а | 89.73 a | 0.14 ab | 0.10 a | 0.10 a | 29.08 a | 3.98 a | 0.03 a | 0.38 a | 4.36 a | 29.45 a | 1.95 a | 91 a | 1.28 a | 1.76 ab |
| High | 3.37 a | 81.10 a | 0.21 a | 0.11 a | 0.11 a | 28.65 a | 3.50 a | 0.04 a | 0.48 a | 3.98 a | 29.13 a | 3.09 a | 88 a | 1.65 a | 1.67 b |
| | | | | | | | 0 | .20 - 0.4 | 40 m | | | | | | |
| Very Low | 3.37 a | 49.23 a | 0.18 a | 0.07 a | 0.08 a | 24.78 a | 3.62 a | 0.03 a | 0.37 a | 3.99 a | 25.14 a | 1.61 b | 91 a | 1.43 a | 1.74 a |
| Low | 3.41 a | 60.38 a | 0.11 a | 0.07 a | 0.08 a | 24.48 a | 3.67 a | 0.03 a | 0.29 a | 3.95 a | 24.76 a | 1.98 ab | 93 a | 1.17 a | 1.82 a |
| Medium | 3.50 a | 64.70 a | 0.12 a | 0.07 a | 0.06 a | 23.80 a | 3.35 a | 0.03 a | 0.27 a | 3.62 a | 24.07 a | 1.49 b | 92 a | 1.13 a | 1.82 a |
| High | 3.64 a | 72.40 a | 0.16 a | 0.08 a | 0.26 a | 22.30 a | 2.85 a | 0.03 a | 0.53 a | 3.38 a | 22.83 a | 4.55 a | 84 a | 2.38 a | 1.76 a |
| | | | | | | | 0 | .40 – 0.(| 30 m | | | | | | |
| Very Low | 3.52 a | 42.05 a | 0.20 a | 0.06 a | 0.10 a | 22.65 a | 3.16 a | 0.02 a | 0.38 a | 3.55 a | 23.03 a | 2.40 a | 90 ab | 1.60 ab | 1.74 a |
| Low | 3.59 а | 48.10 a | 0.10 a | 0.05 a | 0.05 a | 21.05 a | 3.21 a | 0.02 a | 0.23 a | 3.44 a | 21.28 a | 1.93 a | 93 a | 1.09 b | 1.85 a |
| Medium | 3.69 а | 45.83 a | 0.11 a | 0.05 a | 0.04 a | 18.75 a | 2.57 a | 0.02 a | 0.22 a | 2.79 a | 18.97 a | 2.03 a | 92 ab | 1.19 ab | 1.84 a |
| High | 3.79 а | 45.70 a | 0.17 a | 0.06 a | 0.06 a | 18.35 a | 2.34 a | 0.03 a | 0.32 a | 2.66 a | 18.67 a | 3.92 a | 88 b | 1.78 a | 1.76 a |
| (1) SB = sum c (SB + H + AI); = $[2 - (Ca^{2+} +$ | of bases (C $^{(4)}$ m = alc Mg ²⁺)]). | Ca ²⁺ + Mg ² uminum sa | + + K ⁺ + turation (| Na⁺); ⁽²⁾ (m% = ((/ | CEC eff Al ³⁺ * 10 | = effectiv 0)/CEC ef | e cation ∈ ff); ⁽⁵⁾ V = | exchang bases s | e capacity aturation | / (SB + Al (V% = ((S | ³⁺); ⁽³⁾ CEC B * 100)/CE | рН 7.0 = рН :С рН 7.0); (| I 7.0 catior ⁶⁾ Liming r | i exchang ecommen | e capacity dation (LR |

Means followed by different letters in the columns within each subdivision of soil depths (0-0.20, 0.20-0.40 and 0.40-0.60 m) differ statistically from each other by Kruskal-Wallis with Nemenyi mean test ($p \le 0.05$). SOURCE: The author (2023).

TABLE S8 – MICRONUTRIENTS AND OTHER ELEMENTS AT THREE SOIL DEPTHS (0 – 0.20, 0.20 – 0.40, AND 0.40 – 0.60 m) IN A 14 YEAR-OLD *Pinus taeda* CULTIVATION IN DIFFERENT VARI CLASSES VALUE (VERY LOW, LOW, MEDIUM, AND HIGH) IN BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL.

| Classes | В | Ba | cr | Cu | Fe | Mn | Mo | Ni | ^ | Zn |
|------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | | | mg | dm ⁻³ | | | | |
| | | | | 0 |) — 0.20 m | | | | | |
| Very Low | 0.13 a | 2.70 a | 0.15 ab | 5.66 a | 376 a | 10.60 a | 1.66 a | 0.25 a | 0.49 a | 2.56 a |
| Low | 0.13 a | 2.10 a | 0.16 ab | 5.33 a | 367 a | 2.45 a | 2.40 a | 0.23 a | 0.44 a | 1.85 a |
| Medium | 0.10 a | 2.27 a | 0.13 b | 5.01 a | 286 a | 2.36 a | 1.24 a | 0.24 a | 0.48 a | 2.61 a |
| High | 0.10 a | 2.87 a | 0.19 a | 4.48 a | 298 a | 3.65 a | 2.80 a | 0.27 a | 0.81 a | 2.24 a |
| | | | | 0.2 | 20 – 0.40 m | _ | | | | |
| Very Low | 0.13 a | 2.57 a | 0.19 ab | 5.61 a | 237 a | 5.34 a | 1.62 ab | 0.23 a | 0.44 a | 1.87 a |
| Low | 0.09 a | 2.31 a | 0.57 a | 5.39 ab | 209 a | 3.34 a | 2.62 ab | 0.20 a | 0.42 a | 2.64 a |
| Medium | 0.07 a | 2.11 a | 0.17 b | 4.63 ab | 171 a | 2.94 a | 1.47 b | 0.18 a | 0.51 a | 2.13 a |
| High | 0.07 a | 3.17 a | 0.22 ab | 4.14 b | 186 a | 3.68 a | 5.20 a | 0.16 a | 0.80 a | 2.03 a |
| | | | | 0.4 | 40 – 0.60 m | _ | | | | |
| Very Low | 0.08 a | 3.14 a | 0.25 a | 6.06 a | 165 a | 7.17 a | 1.61 a | 0.22 a | 0.51 a | 5.50 a |
| Low | 0.07 a | 2.48 a | 0.21 a | 5.38 ab | 118 a | 3.73 a | 2.25 a | 0.13 a | 0.40 a | 1.89 a |
| Medium | 0.05 a | 2.68 a | 0.19 a | 4.92 ab | 103 a | 2.19 a | 1.33 a | 0.12 a | 0.54 a | 3.36 а |
| High | 0.05 a | 4.36 a | 0.49 a | 4.55 b | 112 a | 2.58 a | 2.23 a | 0.11 a | 0.70 a | 1.71 a |
| y different lett | ers in the c | olumns wit | thin each su | ubdivision c | of soil depth | ıs (0-0.20, | 0.20-0.40 | and 0.40-0. | 60 m) diffeı | r statistically from each othe |

Means followed by different letters in the columns with by Kruskal-Wallis with Nemenyi mean test (p ≤ 0.05). SOURCE: The author (2023).

68
| (VERY LOW, LL | | | | | V, PARA | NA, DRA | ZIL. | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|------------------------|------------------|
| Classe | s pH caCl ₂ | C | Ca ²⁺ | Mg ²⁺ | ¥ | H + AI | Al ³⁺ | Na⁺ | SB ⁽¹⁾ | CEC eff ⁽²⁾ | CEC pH 7.0 ⁽³⁾ | Р | m ⁽⁴⁾ | V ⁽⁵⁾ |
| | 1 | a dm ⁻³ | | | | | cmol _c d | 1m ⁻³ | | | | ma dm ⁻³ | % | |
| | | D | | | | | | | | | | D | | |
| Very Lo | w 3.03 b | 53.00 a | 0.12 a | 0.11 a | 0.13 a | 28.75 a | 3.87 a | 0.03 a | 0.39 a | 4.26 a | 29.14 a | 2.79 a | 90.64 a | 1.37 a |
| Low | 2.99 b | 53.35 a | 0.13 a | 0.11 a | 0.11 a | 30.75 a | 4.04 a | 0.03 a | 0.38 a | 4.42 a | 31.13 a | 2.42 a | 91.32 a | 1.23 a |
| Medium | n 3.17 ab | 59.45 a | 0.13 a | 0.10 a | 0.10 a | 28.60 a | 3.92 a | 0.04 a | 0.36 a | 4.29 a | 28.96 a | 1.68 a | 91.47 a | 1.26 a |
| High | 3.47 a | 74.00 a | 0.16 a | 0.11 a | 0.10 a | 24.78 a | 3.48 a | 0.04 a | 0.38 a | 4.21 a | 28.60 a | 2.31 a | 90.78 a | 1.36 a |
| (1) SB = sum of t (SB + H + Al); ⁽⁴ Means followed SOURCE: The a | by different by different author (2023) | + Mg ²⁺ + K ⁴ um saturati letters in th | ⁺ + Na+); on (m% ₌ e column | ⁽²⁾ CEC ∈ = ((Al ^{3+ ∗} is differ st | eff = effe 100)/CE tatistical | ective cati EC eff); ⁽⁵⁾ Ily from ea | on exch V = bas ach othe | lange ca ses satul ∍r by Kru | pacity (S ration (V ^o ıskal-Wal | B + Al ³⁺); (% = ((SB * Ilis with Ne | ³⁾ CEC pH 7 100)/CEC p menyi meal | 7.0 = pH 7.0 NH 7.0). n test (p ≤ 0 | 0 cation exc 0.05). | thange capacity |
| | | AN STRAIC | | | | | | | | | | | | |

TABLE S10 – MICRONUTRIENTS AND OTHER ELEMENTS IN THE SURFICIAL SOIL HORIZON OF A 14 YEAR-OLD *Pinus taeda* CULTIVATION IN DIFFERENT VARI CLASSES (VERY LOW, LOW, MEDIUM, AND HIGH) IN BITURUNA, PARANÁ, BRAZIL

| ļ | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|----------|
| | Classes | ш | Ba | ັບ | Cu | Fe | Mn | Мо | Ï | > | Zn |
| I | | | | | | mg | dm ⁻³ | | | | |
| | Very Low | 0.27 a | 2.15 a | 0.22 a | 5.90 a | 394 a | 4.15 a | 0.09 b | 0.27 a | 0.62 a | 2.35 a |
| | Low | 0.17 ab | 2.04 a | 0.16 a | 5.75 a | 386 a | 2.44 a | 0.81 ab | 0.24 a | 0.51 a | 1.77 a |
| | Medium | 0.13 ab | 2.42 a | 0.16 a | 4.65 a | 334 a | 1.61 a | 2.39 a | 0.25 a | 0.70 a | 1.37 a |
| | High | 0.10 b | 2.65 a | 0.29 a | 5.16 a | 241 a | 2.39 a | 1.64 ab | 0.38 a | 0.66 a | 3.55 a |
| ved by | different lette | ers in the c | columns diff | fer statistics | ally from ea | ich other by | <u> </u> | Vallis with N | Jemenyi m | ean test (p | ≤ 0.05). |

2 2 $\tilde{2}$ Means followed by different le SOURCE: The author (2023).

| ABLE S11 – PEDOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION (HORIZON, UPPER, AND LOWER LIMIT), THICKNESS, COLOR, CLAY, AND TEXTURAL CLASS) OF TH |
|--|
| JIL PROFILES EVALUATED IN THE DIFFERENT VARI CLASSES (VERY LOW - VL, LOW - L, MEDIUM – M, AND HIGH - H) IN BITURUNA, PARAN |
| SAZIL |

| Class - | Horizon | Lim | it It | Thickness | Color | Clav | Tovtural clace |
|------------|-----------------|---------|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| Repetition | | upper | lower | | 20101 | CIAY | I EALUTAT CLASS |
| | | сm | сm | сm | | g kg ⁻¹ | |
| | 0 | -7 | 0 | 7 | | | · |
| | Ap1 | 0 | 35 | 35 | Very Dark Brown (7.5YR 2.5/2) | 600 | Very clayey |
| VL - R1 | Ap2 | 35 | 60 | 25 | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/3) | | |
| | BA | 60 | 75 | 15 | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/4) | 788 | Very clayey |
| | Bi | 75 | 100+ | 25 | Strong Brown (7.5YR 4/6) | 800 | Very clayey |
| | 0 | -13 | 0 | 13 | | | |
| | Ap | 0 | 40 | 40 | Black (5YR 2.5/1.5) | 425 | Clay |
| | BA | 40 | 60 | 20 | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/2.5) | · | I |
| VL - R2 | Bi1 | 60 | 80 | 20 | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/3.5) | 763 | Very clayey |
| | Bi_2 | 80 | 95+ | 15 | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/4) | 775 | Very clayey |
| | CR | 92+ | ı | · | Red (2.5YR 4/6) Yellow Red (5YR 5/6) | | I |
| • | 0 | <u></u> | 0 | 6 | | | |
| | Ap | 0 | 35 | 35 | Dark Reddish Brown (5YR 2.5/2) | 750 | Very clayey |
| VL - K3 | ABi | 35 | 55 | 20 | Very Dark Brown (7.5YR 2.5/3) | ı | n T |
| | Bi | 55 | 100+ | 45 | Strong Brown (7.5YR 4/6) | 750 | Very clayey |
| | 0 | ထု | 0 | œ | | | |
| | Ap | 0 | 35 | 35 | Dark Reddish Brown (5YR 2.5/2) | 725 | Very clayey |
| VL - R4 | AB | 35 | 55 | 20 | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/3) | · | |
| | BA | 55 | 70 | 15 | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/4) | | |
| | Bi | 70 | 100+ | 30 | Brown (7.5YR 4/5) | 788 | Very clayey |
| | 0 | -10 | 0 | 10 | | | |
| | Ap | 0 | 35 | 35 | Dark Reddish Brown (5YR 2.5/2) | 713 | Very clayey |
| L - R1 | AB | 35 | 65 | 30 | Very Dark Brown (7.5YR 2.5/3) | | |
| | Bi ₁ | 65 | 80 | 15 | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/4) | 800 | Very clayey |
| | Bi ₂ | 80 | 100+ | 20 | Brown (7.5YR 4/5) | 800 | Very clayey |
| | 0 | -15 | 0 | 15 | | · | • |
| L - 72 | Ap | 0 | 30 | 30 | Black (5YR 2.5/1.5) | 575 | Clay |

A, To

| | | clayey | | clayey | | | | | | lay | | - | | clayey | clayey | | ly clay | | | ly clay | | | ly clay | | | lay | | | clayey | | loam | loam | | clayey |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | Very | | Very | | | | | | O | | | | Very | Very | | Sand | | | Sand | | | Sand | | | U | | | Very | | Clay | Clay | • | Very |
| | | 750 | | 650 | | | I | | I | 575 | | | | 675 | 725 | | 400 | | | 400 | | | 400 | | I | 588 | · | | 763 | I | 288 | 313 | I | 200 |
| Dark Reddish Brown (5YR 2.5/2) | Dark Reddish Brown (5YR 3/2.5) | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/3.5) | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/3.5) | Strong Brown (7.5YR 5/6) | Red (2.5YR 4/8) | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/3.5) | Strong Brown (7.5YR 5/6) | Red (2.5YR 4/8) | | Black (5YR 2.5/1.5) | Yellow Red (5YR 4/6) | Dark Reddish Brown (5YR 2.5/2) | ı | Dark Reddish Brown (5YR 2.5/2) | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/3) | Yellow Red (5YR 4/6) | Reddish Brown (5YR 5/5) | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/3) | Yellow Red (5YR 4/6) | Reddish Brown (5YR 5/5) | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/3) | Pinkish Gray (5YR 6/2) | Reddish Brown (5YR 5/5) | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/3) | | Dark Reddish Brown (5YR 2.5/2) | Very Dark Brown (7.5YR 2.5/3) | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/4) | Brown (7.5YR 4/5) | | Black (5YR 2.5/1) | Dark Reddish Brown (2.5YR 3/3) | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/3) | Dark Yellowish Brown (10YR 4/6) |
| 15 | 10 | 15 | | 10 | | | 5 | | 20 | 35 | L | C | 10 | 20 | 20 | | 10 | | | 20 | | | 10 | | 10 | 37 | 23 | 10 | 30 | 4 | 30 | 15 | 10 | 34 |
| 45 | 55 | 20 | | 80 | | | 85+ | | 0 | 35 | 101 | 40+ | 0 | 20 | 40 | | 50 | | | 20 | | | 80+ | | 0 | 37 | 60 | 20 | 100+ | 0 | 30 | 45 | 55 | 89 |
| 30 | 45 | 55 | | 70 | | | 80 | | -20 | 0 | 36 | CC | -10 | 0 | 20 | | 40 | | | 50 | | | 70 | | - 10 | 0 | 37 | 60 | 20 | 4- | 0 | 30 | 45 | 55 |
| AB | BA | Bi | | BC | | | CR | | 0 | Ap | | K) | 0 | Ap | AB | | Cr1 | | | Cr ₂ | | | Cr ₃ | | 0 | Ap | AB | BA | Bi | 0 | Ap | AB | BA | Bi |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | L - 22 | | | | | | | I - R4 | 1 | | | | | | | | M - R1 | | | | | M - R2 | | |

| | C/B | 89 | 91+ | 2 | Yellow Red (5YR 5/8) Strong Brown (7.5YR 4/6) | | |
|----------------|-----------------|------|------|----|--|-----|-------------|
| | 0 | -10 | 0 | 10 | I | · | • |
| | Ap | 0 | 38 | 38 | Black (5YR 2.5/1.5) | 550 | Clay |
| M - R3 | AB | 38 | 75 | 37 | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/3) | | |
| | Bi | 75 | 97 | 22 | Brown (7.5YR 4/5) | 750 | Very clayey |
| | CR | 97 | 100+ | ი | Yellow Red (5YR 5/8) | ı | |
| | 0 | -12 | 0 | 12 | | | |
| | Ap | 0 | 35 | 35 | Dark Reddish Brown (5YR 2.5/2) | 688 | Very clayey |
| | AB | 35 | 50 | 15 | Dark Reddish Brown (5YR 2.5/2) | | |
| M - K4 | BA | 50 | 60 | 10 | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/3) | ı | |
| | Bi | 60 | 98 | 38 | Dark Yellowish Brown (10YR 4/5) | 763 | Very clayey |
| | CR | 98+ | | ı | Yellow-Red (5YR 5/8) | ı | |
| | 0 | -10 | 0 | 10 | | | |
| | Ap | 0 | 47 | 47 | Black (10YR 2/1.5) | 338 | Clay loam |
| H - R1 | BA | 47 | 60 | 13 | Dark Brown (10YR 3/3) | | |
| | Bi | 60 | 79 | 19 | Yellowish Brown (10YR 5/4) | 750 | Very clayey |
| | CR | +62 | ı | ı | Reddish Brown (5YR 4/5) | | |
| | 0 | - 13 | 0 | 13 | | | |
| | Ap | 0 | 25 | 25 | Black (5YR 2.5/1) | 288 | Clay loam |
| л- п | BA | 25 | 60 | 35 | Dark Brown (10YR 3/3) | 550 | Clay |
| | C/B | 60 | +02 | 10 | Yellow-Red (5YR 5/8) | | |
| | 0 | - 5 | 0 | 5 | | | |
| | Ap | 0 | 45 | 45 | Black (5YR 2.5/1) | 588 | Clay |
| | BA | 45 | 55 | 10 | Very Dark Brown (7.5YR 3.5/3) | | |
| | Bi ₁ | 55 | 85 | 30 | Yellowish Brown (10YR 5/6) | 763 | Very clayey |
| | Bi ₂ | 85 | 06 | 5 | Yellowish Brown (10YR 5/6) | | |
| | CR | +06 | | | • | | |
| | 0 | ထု | 0 | ω | | | |
| | Ap | 0 | 45 | 45 | Dark-Reddish-Brown (5YR 2.5/2) | 663 | Very clayey |
| H - R4 | AB | 45 | 65 | 20 | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/3) | | |
| | BA | 65 | 75 | 10 | Dark Brown (7.5YR 3/4) | ı | |
| | Bi | 75 | 100+ | 25 | Yellowish Brown (10YR 5/6) | 775 | Very clayey |
| SOURCE: The au | thor (2023). | | | | | | |

| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | ABLE S12 SED AS A W, LOW, | – NUTRIENT REFERENCE <u>MEDIUM, AN</u> | CONCENTR/ E OR COMPA ID HIGH) IN E | ATION IN NEI .RISON TO OI BITURUNA, P. | EDLES, WOG UR STUDY C ARANÁ, BRA | dd, Bark, Fin df a commef Zil | VE ROOTS, AND RCIAL <i>Pinus tae</i> c |) LITTER DES da CULTIVAT | SCRIBED IN T | THE LITER. ERENT VAF | ATURE THAT WERE RI CLASSES (VERY |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--|
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | oonent | Р | Х | Ca | Mg | Fe | Mn | Zn | В | Cu | Reference |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | g k(| g ⁻¹ | | | | mg kg ⁻¹ | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | dles ⁽¹⁾ | 1.3 - 1.4 | 7.0 - 11.0 ⁽²⁾ | 0.8 - 3.0 | 0.8 - 1.5 | 133 - 165 | 210 - 363 | 20.0 - 80.0 | 15.0 - 29.0 | 5 - 7 | Reissmann (1981) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | (c)(0.4 >) | (<.0 >) | (< 0.8) | | | (< 10.0) | | | Cup and Day and 1000) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | 0.0 0.20 - 0.25 | | | | | | Laind et al. (2000) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 0.8 - 1.4 | 6.0 - 10.0 | 3.0 - 5.0 | 1.3 - 2.0 | 100 - 200 | 250 - 600 | 30.0 - 45.0 | 12.0 - 25.0 | 4 - 7 | Gonçalves (2005) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 1.2 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | Sypert (2006) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 0.95 - 1.12 | 3.0 - 5.5 | 0.83 - 2.72 | 0.51 - 0.86 | 85.0 - 156 | 34.0 - 166 | 18.0 - 31.0 | ı | 3.0 - 5.0 | Dedecek et al. (2008) |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 1.2 | 3.5 - 4.0 8.7 | 1.5 2.6 | 0.8 0.80 | | 20.0 - 40.0 - | 10.0 - 20.0 - | 4.0 - 8.0 - | 2.0 - 3.0 - | Albaugh et al. (2010) Vogel et al. (2018) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | od ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | i | 0.1 | 0.7 - 0.9 | 0.6 - 0.7 | 0.2 - 0.3 | ı | ı | ı | ı | ı | Albaugh et al. (2008) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | | | | | ı | Zhao et al. (2014) |
| $ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | | 0.2 | 0.8 - 1.1 | 0.5 - 0.6 | 0.2 - 0.3 | 10.6 - 15.1 | 7.5 - 10.5 | 7.6 - 8.8 | 2.9 - 3.3 | 1.6 - 2.2 | Villacorta et al. (2015) |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.4 | ı | | ı | , | ı | Angel et al. (2019) |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 0.18 - 0.19 | 1.0 - 1.14 | 0.46 - 0.56 | 0.16 - 0.18 | 3.12 - 5.75 | 54.89 - 113.51 | | 3.56 - 4.15 | | Pereira et al. (2022) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | roots ⁽⁴⁾ | 111 | 15-50 | 1 G _ 1 g | 06-00 | I | 1 | I | I | 1 | Albauch at al (2008) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 0.22 - 0.38 | 1.0 - 9.1 8 0 - 9 0 | 1 28 - 7 0 | 0.0 - 0.0 | | | | 75 0 - 35 0 | | Consalter et al. (2001) |
| ark ⁽⁴⁾ 0.16 - 0.29 0.31 - 1.09 0.25 - 1.56 0.20 - 0.55 40.0 - 106 39.0 - 114 13.0 - 48.0 - 3.0 - 5.0 Dedecek et al. (2008) 0.3 0.7 - 0.9 1.0 - 1.9 0.3 - 0.4 - Albaugh et al. (2008) 0.4 - 0.5 3.3 - 4.0 1.0 - 1.8 0.5 - 0.7 19.3 - 31.9 18.6 - 25.3 27.6 - 43.8 8.9 - 10.2 2.1 - 2.6 Villacorta et al. (2015) 0.2 0.6 1.6 0.4 Zhao et al. (2014) 0.18 - 0.25 0.64 - 0.88 0.42 - 0.69 0.15 - 0.19 55.7 - 67.0 26.6 - 60.6 - 11.4 - 13.3 - Pereira et al. (2022) tter ⁽⁴⁾ 0.39 - 0.57 - 1.09 0.67 - 3.30 0.59 - 1.28 1531 - 7242 46.1 - 163.9 7.4 - 22.0 - 2.9 - 5.3 Rabel et al. (2021) | | 0.85 - 1.12 | 0.3 - 0.4 | 0.2 - 8.3 | 0.1 - 2.7 | 432 - 620 | 18.4 - 172 | | | | Adam et al. (2021) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | ark ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.3 0.7 - 0.9 1.0 - 1.9 0.3 - 0.4 Albaugh et al. (2008) 0.4 - 0.5 3.3 - 4.0 1.0 - 1.8 0.5 - 0.7 19.3 - 31.9 18.6 - 25.3 27.6 - 43.8 8.9 - 10.2 2.1 - 2.6 Villacorta et al. (2015) 0.2 0.6 1.6 0.4 Zhao et al. (2014) 0.18 - 0.25 0.64 - 0.88 0.42 - 0.69 0.15 - 0.19 55.7 - 67.0 26.6 - 60.6 - 11.4 - 13.3 - Pereira et al. (2022) ter ⁽⁴⁾ 0.39 - 0.57 - 1.09 0.67 - 3.30 0.59 - 1.28 1531 - 7242 46.1 - 163.9 7.4 - 22.0 - 2.9 - 5.3 Rabel et al. (2021) | | 0.16 - 0.29 | 0.31 - 1.09 | 0.25 - 1.56 | 0.20 - 0.55 | 40.0 - 106 | 39.0 - 114 | 13.0 - 48.0 | | 3.0 - 5.0 | Dedecek et al. (2008) |
| 0.4 - 0.5 3.3 - 4.0 1.0 - 1.8 0.5 - 0.7 19.3 - 31.9 18.6 - 25.3 27.6 - 43.8 8.9 - 10.2 2.1 - 2.6 Villacorta et al. (2015) 0.2 0.6 1.6 0.4 - 2.7 - 2.1 - 2.6 Villacorta et al. (2014) 0.18 - 0.25 0.64 - 0.88 0.42 - 0.69 0.15 - 0.19 55.7 - 67.0 26.6 - 60.6 - 11.4 - 13.3 - Pereira et al. (2022) tter ⁽⁴⁾ 0.39 - 0.57 - 1.09 0.67 - 3.30 0.59 - 1.28 1531 - 7242 46.1 - 163.9 7.4 - 22.0 - 2.9 - 5.3 Rabel et al. (2021) | | 0.3 | 0.7 - 0.9 | 1.0 - 1.9 | 0.3 - 0.4 | ı | | , | , | ı | Albaugh et al. (2008) |
| 0.2 0.6 1.6 0.4 - Zhao et al. (2014) 0.18 - 0.25 0.64 - 0.88 0.42 - 0.69 0.15 - 0.19 55.7 - 67.0 26.6 - 60.6 - 11.4 - 13.3 - Pereira et al. (2022) tter ⁽⁴⁾ 0.39 - 0.50 0.57 - 1.09 0.67 - 3.30 0.59 - 1.28 1531 - 7242 46.1 - 163.9 7.4 - 22.0 - 2.9 - 5.3 Rabel et al. (2021) | | 0.4 - 0.5 | 3.3 - 4.0 | 1.0 - 1.8 | 0.5 - 0.7 | 19.3 - 31.9 | 18.6 - 25.3 | 27.6 - 43.8 | 8.9 - 10.2 | 2.1 - 2.6 | Villacorta et al. (2015) |
| 0.18 - 0.25 0.64 - 0.88 0.42 - 0.69 0.15 - 0.19 55.7 - 67.0 26.6 - 60.6 - 11.4 - 13.3 - Pereira et al. (2022) tter ⁽⁴⁾ 0.39 - 0.50 0.57 - 1.09 0.59 - 1.28 1531 - 7242 46.1 - 163.9 7.4 - 22.0 - 2.9 - 5.3 Rabel et al. (2021) | | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.4 | ı | | ı | | ı | Zhao et al. (2014) |
| tter ⁽⁴⁾ 0.39 - 0.50 0.57 - 1.09 0.67 - 3.30 0.59 - 1.28 1531 - 7242 46.1 - 163.9 7.4 - 22.0 - 2.9 - 5.3 Rabel et al. (2021) | 1 | 0.18 - 0.25 | 0.64 - 0.88 | 0.42 - 0.69 | 0.15 - 0.19 | 55.7 - 67.0 | 26.6 - 60.6 | · | 11.4 - 13.3 | ı | Pereira et al. (2022) |
| 0.39 - 0.50 0.57 - 1.09 0.67 - 3.30 0.59 - 1.28 1531 - 7242 46.1 - 163.9 7.4 - 22.0 - 2.9 - 5.3 Rabel et al. (2021) | tter ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 0.39 - 0.50 | 0.57 - 1.09 | 0.67 - 3.30 | 0.59 - 1.28 | 1531 - 7242 | 46.1 - 163.9 | 7.4 - 22.0 | ı | 2.9 - 5.3 | Rabel et al. (2021) |
| | urce: Th | values lound i he author (202 | In the studies, 23). | wirnour a qua | allication. | | | | | | |
| tange of values found in the studies, without a qualification. URCE: The author (2023). | | | - / | | | | | | | | |